



## Evaluation of the Toxicity of Copper Oxide Nanoparticles Toward Pea Seeds

Andrey Nagdalian<sup>1\*</sup>, Alina Askerova<sup>1</sup>, Andrey Blinov<sup>2</sup>, Mohammad Ali Shariati<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Food and Industrial Biotechnology, Faculty of Food Engineering and Biotechnology named after academician A.G. Khramstsov, North Caucasus Federal University, Stavropol, Russia.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Technology of Nanostructures and Materials, Faculty of Physical and Technical, North Caucasus Federal University, Stavropol, Russia.

<sup>3</sup>Semey Branch of Kazakh Research Institute of Processing and Food Industry, Department of Scientific, 050060 Almaty, Kazakhstan.

### ABSTRACT

This work considers the application of copper oxide nanoparticles (CuO NPs) for the pre-sowing treatment of pea seeds as trace element fertilizer. CuO NPs were chosen since Cu-containing forms are actively involved in the construction of necessary proteins and enzymes, as well as in the processes of growth and development of cells, tissues, and plants. However, many works reported that CuO NPs have a toxic effect on crops as well. Therefore, the purpose of this work was to evaluate the toxicity of CuO NPs towards pea seeds. It was found that the best indicators of changes in the length of roots and sprouts occur at treatment with 0.1 mg/L CuO NPs. At the same time, the length of roots and sprout of pea seeds at 100 mg/L CuO NPs was the lowest concerning other samples. Preliminarily, the results obtained revealed the potential toxic effect of CuO NPs on pea seeds at concentrations  $\geq 1$  mg/L. However, anatomical and histological examination revealed that the toxic effect starts from 10 mg/L. The results obtained will become a basis for further study of the multidirectional impact of CuO NPs on other crops at various concentrations for the determination of optimal concentrations with growth-stimulating effects for sustainable agriculture.

**Keywords:** CuO NPs, Nanoparticles, Ecotoxicology, Sustainability, Agriculture

**Corresponding author:** Andrey Nagdalian

**e-mail** ✉ [geniando@yanex.ru](mailto:geniando@yanex.ru)

**Received:** 20 February 2024

**Accepted:** 28 June 2024

### INTRODUCTION

Currently, the problems of studying the positive and negative effects of nanomaterials on biological objects are becoming particularly acute (Klaper *et al.*, 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2022). Such studies are becoming extremely relevant, as the range and number of nanoparticles (NPs) entering the environment are expanding (Eweje *et al.*, 2019; Serra *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, it is necessary to develop methods for assessing the effects of nanoparticles on living organisms, and the development of nanotechnology becomes an integral part of the implementation of the plan for scientific and innovative development of industry (Ramanathan, 2019; Kumah *et al.*, 2023).

Biosafety of nanotechnology, the study of the behavior of nanoparticles in the environment, and living organisms, including plants, is the subject of numerous studies (Sukhanova *et al.*, 2018; Abbas *et al.*, 2022; Zhang *et al.*, 2024). It is worth noting that nowadays nanomaterials are widely used in optics, chemical technologies, medicine, perfumery and cosmetics industry, agriculture, etc. (Shafiq *et al.*, 2020; Neme *et al.*, 2021; Lan, 2022).

Notably, in experimental studies on the bioassay of NPs, preferences are usually given to plants (Ghosh *et al.*, 2019; Orefice *et al.*, 2023; Sousa *et al.*, 2024). Plants are diverse and

accessible objects that are sensitive to external low-intensity factors (Emmanouil *et al.*, 2024). It is known that NPs with a size of less than 10 nm are able not only to penetrate a plant cell but also to integrate into the membrane (Das *et al.*, 2016; Parkinson *et al.*, 2022). It should be noted that plants cultivated *in vitro* are a good model test object for evaluating the effects of NPs that can be introduced into the nutrient medium (Gawas *et al.*, 2023; Tansley *et al.*, 2024). At the same time, the study of morphogenesis, cytogenetic parameters, and the interaction of NPs with intracellular structures is promising (Singh *et al.*, 2020; Cardellini *et al.*, 2023).

Interestingly, metal or metal oxide NPs overcoming the membranes of plant cells can affect the cytoplasmic enzyme systems (Gowtham *et al.*, 2024; Yu *et al.*, 2024). However, studies on the effect of NPs on biological objects and enzymatic systems are extremely ambiguous or contradictory (García-Locascio *et al.*, 2024; Gul *et al.*, 2024; Rehman *et al.*, 2024). At the same time, the dependence of the responses of test objects to the presence of NPs on the level of their biological organization and habitat has not been practically studied, which makes it difficult to analyze the risk of exposure to NPs pollution in natural ecosystems.

This work considers the application of copper oxide nanoparticles (CuO NPs) for the pre-sowing treatment of pea seeds as a trace element fertilizer. CuO NPs were chosen since Cu-containing forms are actively involved in the construction of necessary proteins and enzymes, as well as in the processes of

growth and development of cells, tissues, and plants (Printz *et al.*, 2016; Rehman *et al.*, 2019; Shabbir *et al.*, 2020). However, many works reported that CuO NPs have a toxic effect on crops as well (Rajput *et al.*, 2018; Naz *et al.*, 2020; Yang *et al.*, 2020; Xu *et al.*, 2023). Therefore, the purpose of this work was to evaluate the toxicity of CuO NPs towards pea seeds.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

CuO NPs were obtained by direct deposition in an aqueous medium; copper II acetate was used as a precursor of CuO NPs. The stabilizing agent was hyaluronic acid. Sodium hydroxide was used to precipitate the product. In the first stage, 1.99 g of copper II acetate and 1.99 g of stabilizer were dissolved in 90 mL of distilled water. The solution was heated to 90 °C followed by the addition of 5 mL of 10 M NaOH with continuous stirring for 30 min. The resulting sol was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 15 min, and the precipitate was dried in a drying chamber at 90 °C (Gvozdenko *et al.*, 2022).

Pea seeds (75 units per group) were placed by 25 units in Petri dishes on filter paper under optimal humidification conditions at a temperature of 20 °C for 7 days. The ratio of the liquid phase and seeds was 4:5. Considering the results of the literature review, the liquid phase was used as follows: distilled water (control group), 0.1 mg/L CuO NPs solution (experimental group 1), 1 mg/L CuO NPs solution (experimental group 2), 10 mg/L CuO NPs solution (experimental group 3) and 100 mg/L CuO NPs solution (experimental group 4). Germination energy, germinability, and linear dimensions of seeding and roots were evaluated according to the ISTA (2006) standard every 3 days during 9 days of germination (Blinov *et al.*, 2023; Nagdalian *et al.*, 2024).


For the histological study, histological micropreparations of seeding from each group were prepared using the microtome

MZP-01 Technom with the microtome cooler OMT-28-02E (KB-Technom, Yekaterinburg, Russia). Histological sections were made at a distance of 5 mm from the seed with the average thickness of the cut of 0.05 mm. Micropreparations were stained with phloroglucinol (Lenreactive, St. Petersburg, Russia) in the presence of hydrochloric acid (Lenreactive, St. Petersburg, Russia) and were processed on a Levenhuk D870T microscope (Levenhuk, Tampa, FL, USA) with a Levenhuk C510 digital camera at magnification 100×. Micrographs were processed in the Levenhuk ToupView 3.7 program (Levenhuk, Tampa, FL, USA) (Nagdalian *et al.*, 2023).

The experiments were carried out in threefold biological and fivefold analytical repetition. All parameters obtained were submitted to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student's T-test ( $p < 0.05$ ) through the statistical package STATISTICA for Windows (Statsoft, Tulsa, USA). Data on roots and seeding length were statistically processed using Python 3.10 software with the Jupyter Notebook web-based interactive computing platform using the *pandas*, *numpy*, *sklearn*, *matplotlib*, and *seaborn* libraries (Source). Microsoft Excel 2010 and Origin software were also used for histograms and graphs creation based on the results of the data processing (Nagdalian *et al.*, 2024).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Visual observation of *in vitro* germinating pea seeds showed that by the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of the experiment, there was a pronounced inhibition of seed growth and development when treated with 100 mg/L CuO NPs. Interestingly, seeds treated with 1 and 10 mg/L CuO NPs also turned out to be less developed than the control sample. At the same time, at 0.1 mg/L CuO NPs, an unexpected effect of growth and development stimulating effect was achieved, which can be checked and compared in **Figure 1**.



**Figure 1.** Control and experimental groups of pea seeds on day 3 (a) and day 9 (b, c) of observation

Notably, the visual effect was supported by data on linear dimensions of roots and sprouts of pea seeds of experimental and control groups. The collected data were mathematically and

statistically processed and presented in several options of interdependences (Table 1, Figure 2).

**Table 1.** ANOVA of dependence of roots and sprout length on the concentration of CuO NPs


Index	Sum_sq	dF	F	PR (>F)
Roots length				
Solution	305.844	1.0	130.451	1.083e-26
Residual	1050.341	448.0	NaN	NaN
Sprout length				
Solution	136.653	1.0	143.399	7.343e-29
Residual	426.925	448.0	NaN	NaN


One-way ANOVA testing (Table 1) shows that there is a significant difference in the length of roots and sprouts depending on the concentration of the solution. Since the concentration of the solution varies exponentially, concentration was turned into a logarithm function (Koch, 1966). Interestingly, during logarithmization, there was a problem associated with the mean concentration for control samples (0 mg/L), which is mathematically absurd. Therefore, along with decimal logarithm (log10\_solution) a new function

was introduced (log10\_solution2) based on Eq. 1 (Reynolds & Stauffer, 2021):

$$\log_{10\_solution2} = \log_{10\_solution} + 2 \tag{1}$$

Thus, the results of statistical data processing are presented in Figure 2 and Table 2.





**Figure 2.** Results of mathematical data processing: correlation maps of the length of roots and sprout and logarithm functions of CuNPs concentration (a, b), box plots on the dependence of the length of roots (c) and sprout (d) on CuO NPs concentration, a scatterplot of dependence of sprout length on roots length (e) and the smoothed histograms on the dependence of sprout length on roots length (f). Note: “log10\_solution” is a decimal logarithm of concentration and “log10\_solution2” is log10\_solution + 2 (for instance, 1 instead of -1 or 2 instead of 0, etc.).

**Table 2.** Statistically data processing

Index	number	solution	root	sprout	log10_solution	germination_flg	log10_solution2
count	450.00	450.00	450.00	450.00	450.00	450.00	450.00
mean	15.50	22.22	3.05	2.35	0.40	0.92	0.40
std	8.66	39.11	1.73	1.12	1.02	0.27	1.02
min	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-1.00	0.00	-1.00
25%	8.00	0.10	1.80	1.50	0.00	1.00	0.00
50%	15.50	1.00	3.00	2.50	0.00	1.00	0.00
75%	23.00	10.00	4.10	3.17	1.00	1.00	1.00
max	30.00	100.00	9.00	5.00	2.00	1.00	2.00

Where “number” is the number of the seed in the petri dish, “solution” is a concentration of a solution (mg/L), “root” is a root length, “sprout” is a sprout length, “log10\_solution” is a decimal logarithm of the concentration, “germination\_flg” is many germinated seeds, “log10\_solution2” is log10\_solution + 2 (for instance, 1 instead of -1 or 2 instead of 0, etc.)

Thus, according to **Figure 2** and **Table 2**, it is possible to determine the effect of CuO NPs on the length of roots and sprout of germinated pea seeds. Notably, the best indicators of changes in the length of roots and sprouts were observed in samples of the first experimental group treated with 0.1 mg/L CuO NPs. At the same time, the length of roots and sprout of pea seeds from the 4<sup>th</sup> experimental group (100 mg/L CuO NPs) was the lowest in relation to other samples. Samples of the 2<sup>nd</sup> (0.1 mg/L CuO NPs) and the 3<sup>rd</sup> (1 mg/L CuO NPs) had an average length of roots and sprout. Preliminarily, the results obtained revealed the potential toxic effect of CuO NPs on pea seeds at concentrations  $\geq 1$  mg/L. However, to declare the toxicity of CuO NPs, it should be confirmed by other research methods. In parallel, other integral biological indicators reflecting the effect of CuO NPs on pea seeds are germination energy and germinability (Luo et al., 2024). The results of the calculation of germination energy and germinability of pea seeds of experimental and control groups are shown in **Table 3**.

**Table 3.** Germination energy and germinability of pea seeds of experimental and control groups

Concentration of CuO NPs	Germination energy, %	Germinability, %
0 mg/L (Control)	93.30	93.40
0.1 mg/L	95.20	95.20
1 mg/L	90.76	90.76
10 mg/L	90.56	89.56
100 mg/L	87.10	87.10


**Table 3** shows that seeds from the 1<sup>st</sup> experimental group (0.1 mg/L CuO NPs) had the highest germination energy. The percentage of pea germination from the 4<sup>th</sup> experimental group (100 mg/L CuO NPs) was the lowest in relation to other samples. Samples from the 2<sup>nd</sup> (0.1 mg/L CuO NPs) and the 3<sup>rd</sup> (1 mg/L CuO NPs) had average values of germination energy. Thus, it is possible to conclude that CuO NPs have a stimulating effect on pea seed germination at a concentration of 0.1 mg/L.



At the same time, it was found that CuO NPs have an inhibition effect on pea seed germination at a concentration of 100 mg/L. Interestingly, the results obtained are consistent with data reported by Kadri *et al.* (2022) and Ochoa *et al.* (2017). It is important to note, that obtaining friendly full-fledged seedlings is an important prerequisite for the formation of high seed yields (Riikonen & Luorinen, 2018). According to **Table 3**, the average germination rate for pea samples was 92.1%. It was

found that the best indicator of germinability was observed in pea seeds treated with 0.1 mg/L CuO NPs (95.20%). At the same time, pea seeds treated with 100 mg/L CuO NPs and 10 mg/L CuO NPs had germinability of less than 90%, which is not suitable for field sowing (Bellaloui *et al.*, 2017).

Results of histological examination of cross-sections of pea sprouts from experimental and control groups are presented in **Figure 3**.



**Figure 3.** Micrographs of histological cross-sections of sprouts of pea seeds of the control group (a), the 1<sup>st</sup> experimental group (b), the 2<sup>nd</sup> experimental group (c), the 3<sup>rd</sup> experimental group (d), and the 4<sup>th</sup> experimental group (e).

For conciseness, the results of the analysis of histological examination were structured and tabulated (**Table 4**).

**Table 4.** Results of anatomical and histological examination

Concentration of CuO NPs	Epidermis	Mesophyll	Stomatal apparatus
0 mg/L (Control)	Cells are tightly closed and evenly thickened, their walls are not strongly convoluted; the cell membranes are clear-cut, with noticeable pores.	Homogeneous, clearly defined.	Stomata are small and numerous.
0.1 mg/L	Cells are tightly closed, and evenly thickened, the cell walls are not strongly convoluted; the cell membranes are clear-cut, with noticeable pores.	Homogeneous, clearly defined.	Stomata are small and numerous.
1 mg/L	Cells are tightly closed and evenly thickened, their walls are not strongly convoluted; the cell membranes are clear-cut, with noticeable pores.	Homogeneous, clearly defined.	Stomata are small and numerous.
10 mg/L	Cells are tightly closed, have an uneven thickening, and the cell membranes are even-shaped	Homogeneous, clearly defined.	Stomata are small but not numerous.
100 mg/L	Cells are tightly closed, have an uneven thickening, and the cell membranes are even-shaped	Crumbly, heterogeneous.	Stomata are small but not numerous.

According to the results of **Table 4**, it can be concluded that treatment of pea seeds with CuO NPs at concentrations of 0.1-1 mg/L leads to improvement in their anatomical and histological parameters (mesophyll is homogeneous, epidermal cells are dense). However, it was found that at concentrations of 10-100 mg/L, anatomical and histological parameters of samples

deteriorated with a noticeable decrease in elasticity of the mesophyll and epidermis. Thus, the results of histological examinations confirmed the toxicity of CuO NPs towards pea seeds at concentrations more than 10 mg/L. The results obtained are in line with previous studies on this topic (Mukherjee *et al.*, 2016; Rajput *et al.*, 2018; Essa *et al.*, 2021) and

will become a basis for further study of the multidirectional impact of CuO NPs on other crops at various concentrations for determination of optimal concentrations with growth stimulating effect for sustainable agriculture.

### CONCLUSION

Metal or metal oxide nanoparticles overcoming the membranes of plant cells can affect the cytoplasmic enzyme systems. However, studies on the effect of NPs on biological objects and enzymatic systems are extremely ambiguous or contradictory. Initially, the results obtained revealed the potential toxic effect of CuO NPs on pea seeds at concentrations  $\geq 1$  mg/L. In parallel, the anatomical and histological parameters of seeds treated with 10-100 mg/L deteriorated with a noticeable decrease in elasticity of the mesophyll and epidermis. Thus, the results obtained revealed the toxicity of CuO NPs towards pea seeds at concentrations more than 10 mg/L. This knowledge will become a basis for further study of the multidirectional impact of CuO NPs on other crops at various concentrations for the determination of optimal concentrations with growth-stimulating effects for sustainable agriculture.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** The authors are thankful to Mr. Alexander Osadchiy for assistance with mathematical and statistical data processing.

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** None

**FINANCIAL SUPPORT:** The research was carried out at the expense of a grant from the Russian Science Foundation No. 23-76-10046, <https://rscf.ru/project/23-76-10046/>.

**ETHICS STATEMENT:** None

### REFERENCES

- Abbas, M., Yan, K., Li, J., Zafar, S., Hasnain, Z., Aslam, N., Iqbal, N., Hussain, S. S., Usman, M., Abbas, M., et al. (2022). Agri-nanotechnology and tree nanobionics: Augmentation in crop yield, biosafety, and biomass accumulation. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, *10*, 853045. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2022.853045
- Bellaloui, N., Smith, J. R., Mengistu, A., Ray, J. D., & Gillen, A. M. (2017). Evaluation of exotically-derived soybean breeding lines for seed yield, germination, damage, and composition under dryland production in the midsouthern USA. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *8*, 176. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.00176
- Blinov, A., Gvozdenko, A., Golik, A., Siddiqui, S. A., Göğüş, F., Blinova, A., Maglakelidze, D., Shevchenko, I., Rebezov, M., & Nagdalian, A. (2023). Effect of Mn<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> nanoparticles stabilized with methionine on germination of barley seeds (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Nanomaterials*, *13*(9), 1577. doi:10.3390/nano13091577
- Cardellini, J., Ridolfi, A., Donati, M., Giampietro, V., Severi, M., Brucale, M., Valle, F., Bergese, P., Montis, C., Caselli, L., et al. (2023). Probing the coverage of nanoparticles by biomimetic membranes through nanoplasmonics. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, *640*, 100-109. doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2023.02.073
- Das, R. K., Brar, S. K., & Verma, M. (2016). Checking the biocompatibility of plant-derived metallic nanoparticles: Molecular perspectives. *Trends in Biotechnology*, *34*(6), 440-449. doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2016.02.005
- Emmanouil, C., Giannakis, I., & Kyzas, G. Z. (2024). Terrestrial bioassays for assessing the biochemical and toxicological impact of biosolids application derived from wastewater treatment plants. *Science of the Total Environment*, *931*, 172718. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.172718
- Essa, H. L., Abdelfattah, M. S., Marzouk, A. S., Shedeed, Z., Guirguis, H. A., & El-Sayed, M. M. (2021). Biogenic copper nanoparticles from *Avicennia marina* leaves: Impact on seed germination, detoxification enzymes, chlorophyll content and uptake by wheat seedlings. *PLoS One*, *16*(4), e0249764. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0249764
- Eweje, F., Ardoña, H. A. M., Zimmerman, J. F., O'Connor, B. B., Ahn, S., Grevesse, T., Rivera, K. N., Bitounis, D., Demokritou, P., & Parker, K. K. (2019). Quantifying the effects of engineered nanomaterials on endothelial cell architecture and vascular barrier integrity using a cell pair model. *Nanoscale*, *11*(38), 17878-17893. doi:10.1039/c9nr04981a
- García-Locascio, E., Valenzuela, E. I., & Cervantes-Avilés, P. (2024). Impact of seed priming with Selenium nanoparticles on germination and seedlings growth of tomato. *Scientific Reports*, *14*(1), 6726. doi:10.1038/s41598-024-57049-3
- Gawas, C. G., Mathur, S., Wani, M., & Tabassum, H. (2023). Nigella sativa and its nano-mediated approach toward management of neurodegenerative disorders: A review. *Ibrain*, *9*(1), 111-123. doi:10.1002/ibra.12091
- Ghosh, M., Ghosh, I., Godderis, L., Hoet, P., & Mukherjee, A. (2019). Genotoxicity of engineered nanoparticles in higher plants. *Mutation Research/Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis*, *842*, 132-145. doi:10.1016/j.mrgentox.2019.01.002
- Gowtham, H. G., Shilpa, N., Singh, S. B., Aiyaz, M., Abhilash, M. R., Nataraj, K., Amruthesh, K. N., Ansari, M. A., Alomary, M. N., & Murali, M. (2024). Toxicological effects of nanoparticles in plants: Mechanisms involved at morphological, physiological, biochemical and molecular levels. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, *108*, 604. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2024.108604
- Gul, M., Khan, R. S., Islam, Z. U., Khan, S., Shumaila, A., Umar, S., Khan, S., Brekhna, Zahoor, M., & Ditta, A. (2024). Nanoparticles in plant resistance against bacterial pathogens: Current status and future prospects. *Molecular Biology Reports*, *51*(1), 92. doi:10.1007/s11033-023-08914-3
- Gvozdenko, A. A., Siddiqui, S. A., Blinov, A. V., Golik, A. B., Nagdalian, A. A., Maglakelidze, D. G., Statsenko, E. N., Pirogov, M. A., Blinova, A. A., Sizonenko, M. N., et al. (2022). Synthesis of CuO nanoparticles stabilized with gelatin for potential use in food packaging applications. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1), 12843. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-16878-w
- Kadri, O., Karmous, I., Kharbech, O., Arfaoui, H., & Chaoui, A. (2022). Cu and CuO nanoparticles affected the germination and the growth of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) seedling. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and*

- Toxicology*, 108(3), 585-593. doi:10.1007/s00128-021-03425-y
- Klaper, R., Arndt, D., Bozich, J., & Dominguez, G. (2014). Molecular interactions of nanomaterials and organisms: Defining biomarkers for toxicity and high-throughput screening using traditional and next-generation sequencing approaches. *Analyst*, 139(5), 882-895. doi:10.1039/c3an01644g
- Koch, A. L. (1966). The logarithm in biology 1. Mechanisms generating the log-normal distribution exactly. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 12(2), 276-290. doi:10.1016/0022-5193(66)90119-6.
- Kumah, E. A., Fopa, R. D., Harati, S., Boadu, P., Zohoori, F. V., & Pak, T. (2023). Human and environmental impacts of nanoparticles: A scoping review of the current literature. *BMC Public Health*, 23(1), 1059. doi:10.1186/s12889-023-15958-4
- Lan, J. (2022). Overview of application of nanomaterials in medical domain. *Contrast Media & Molecular Imaging*, 2022(1), 3507383. doi:10.1155/2022/3507383
- Luo, C., Zhang, L., Ali, M. M., Xu, Y., & Liu, Z. (2024). Environmental risk substances in soil on seed germination: Chemical species, inhibition performance, and mechanisms. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 472, 134518. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.134518
- Mukherjee, A., Sun, Y., Morelius, E., Tamez, C., Bandyopadhyay, S., Niu, G., White, J. C., Peralta-Videa, J. R., & Gardea-Torresdey, J. L. (2016). Differential toxicity of bare and hybrid ZnO nanoparticles in green pea (*Pisum sativum* L.): A life cycle study. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 6, 1242. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.01242
- Nagdalian, A. A., Blinov, A. V., Siddiqui, S. A., Gvozdenko, A. A., Golik, A. B., Maglakelidze, D. G., Rzhepakovsky, I. V., Kukharuk, M. Y., Piskov, S. I., Rebezov, M. B., et al. (2023). Effect of selenium nanoparticles on biological and morphofunctional parameters of barley seeds (*Hordéum vulgäre* L.). *Scientific Reports*, 13(1), 6453. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-33581-6
- Nagdalian, A., Blinov, A., Gvozdenko, A., Golik, A., Rekhman, Z., Rzhepakovsky, I., Kolesnikov, R., Avanesyan, S., Blinova, A., Pirogov, M., et al. (2024). Effect of MnO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles stabilized with cocamidopropyl betaine on germination and development of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) seedlings. *Nanomaterials*, 14(11), 959. doi:10.3390/nano14110959
- Naz, S., Gul, A., & Zia, M. (2020). Toxicity of copper oxide nanoparticles: A review study. *IET Nanobiotechnology*, 14(1), 1-13. doi:10.1049/iet-nbt.2019.0176
- Neme, K., Nafady, A., Uddin, S., & Tola, Y. B. (2021). Application of nanotechnology in agriculture, postharvest loss reduction and food processing: Food security implication and challenges. *Heliyon*, 7(12), e08539. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e08539
- Ochoa, L., Medina-Velo, I. A., Barrios, A. C., Bonilla-Bird, N. J., Hernandez-Viezcas, J. A., Peralta-Videa, J. R., & Gardea-Torresdey, J. L. (2017). Modulation of CuO nanoparticles toxicity to green pea (*Pisum sativum* Fabaceae) by the phytohormone indole-3-acetic acid. *Science of the Total Environment*, 598, 513-524. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.063
- Orefice, N. S., Di Raimo, R., Mizzoni, D., Logozzi, M., & Fais, S. (2023). Purposing plant-derived exosomes-like nanovesicles for drug delivery: Patents and literature review. *Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Patents*, 33(2), 89-100. doi:10.1080/13543776.2023.2195093
- Parkinson, S. J., Tungsirisurp, S., Joshi, C., Richmond, B. L., Gifford, M. L., Sikder, A., Lynch, I., O'Reilly, R. K., & Napier, R. M. (2022). Polymer nanoparticles pass the plant interface. *Nature Communications*, 13(1), 7385. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-35066-y
- Printz, B., Lutts, S., Hausman, J. F., & Sergeant, K. (2016). Copper trafficking in plants and its implication on cell wall dynamics. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 601. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.00601
- Rajput, V., Minkina, T., Fedorenko, A., Sushkova, S., Mandzhieva, S., Lysenko, V., Duplii, N., Fedorenko, G., Dvadenko, K., & Ghazaryan, K. (2018). Toxicity of copper oxide nanoparticles on spring barley (*Hordeum sativum* distichum). *Science of the Total Environment*, 645, 1103-1113. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.211
- Ramanathan, A. (2019). Toxicity of nanoparticles\_ challenges and opportunities. *Applied Microscopy*, 49(1), 2. doi:10.1007/s42649-019-0004-6
- Rehman, A., Khan, S., Sun, F., Peng, Z., Feng, K., Wang, N., Jia, Y., Pan, Z., He, S., Wang, L., et al. (2024). Exploring the nano-wonders: Unveiling the role of Nanoparticles in enhancing salinity and drought tolerance in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 14, 1324176. doi:10.3389/fpls.2023.1324176
- Rehman, M., Liu, L., Wang, Q., Saleem, M. H., Bashir, S., Ullah, S., & Peng, D. (2019). Copper environmental toxicology, recent advances, and future outlook: A review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26, 18003-18016. doi:10.1007/s11356-019-05073-6
- Reynolds, R., & Stauffer, A. (2021). A Double logarithmic transform involving the exponential and polynomial functions expressed in terms of the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function. *Symmetry*, 13(11), 1983. doi:10.3390/sym13111983
- Riikonen, J., & Luoranen, J. (2018). Seedling production and the field performance of seedlings. *Forests*, 9(12), 740. doi:10.3390/f9120740
- Serra, A., Letunic, I., Fortino, V., Handy, R. D., Fadeel, B., Tagliaferri, R., & Greco, D. (2019). INSiDe NANO: A systems biology framework to contextualize the mechanism-of-action of engineered nanomaterials. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 179. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-37411-y
- Shabbir, Z., Sardar, A., Shabbir, A., Abbas, G., Shamshad, S., Khalid, S., Murtaza, G., Dumat, C., & Shahid, M. (2020). Copper uptake, essentiality, toxicity, detoxification and risk assessment in soil-plant environment. *Chemosphere*, 259, 127436. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127436
- Shafiq, M., Anjum, S., Hano, C., Anjum, I., & Abbasi, B. H. (2020). An overview of the applications of nanomaterials and nanodevices in the food industry. *Foods*, 9(2), 148. doi:10.3390/foods9020148
- Singh, A. V., Maharjan, R. S., Kanase, A., Siewert, K., Rosenkranz, D., Singh, R., Laux, P., & Luch, A. (2020). Machine-learning-based approach to decode the influence of nanomaterial properties on their interaction with cells. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 13(1), 1943-1955. doi:10.1021/acsami.0c18470

- Sousa, B. T., Carvalho, L. B., Preisler, A. C., Saraiva-Santos, T., Oliveira, J. L., Verri Jr, W. A., Dalazen, G., Fraceto, L. F., & Oliveira, H. (2024). Chitosan coating as a strategy to increase postemergent herbicidal efficiency and alter the interaction of nanoatrazine with *bidens pilosa* plants. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*. doi:10.1021/acsami.4c03800
- Sukhanova, A., Bozrova, S., Sokolov, P., Berestovoy, M., Karaulov, A., & Nabiev, I. (2018). Dependence of nanoparticle toxicity on their physical and chemical properties. *Nanoscale Research Letters*, 13(1), 44. doi:10.1186/s11671-018-2457-x
- Tansley, C., Patron, N. J., & Guiziou, S. (2024). Engineering plant cell fates and functions for agriculture and industry. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, 13(4), 998-1005. doi:10.1021/acssynbio.4c00047
- Xu, X., Qiu, H., Van Gestel, C. A., Gong, B., & He, E. (2023). Impact of nanopesticide CuO-NPs and nanofertilizer CeO<sub>2</sub>-NPs on wheat *Triticum aestivum* under global warming scenarios. *Chemosphere*, 328, 138576. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.138576
- Yang, Z., Xiao, Y., Jiao, T., Zhang, Y., Chen, J., & Gao, Y. (2020). Effects of copper oxide nanoparticles on the growth of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seedlings and the relevant physiological responses. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(4), 1260. doi:10.3390/ijerph17041260
- Yu, P., Zheng, X., Alimi, L. O., Al-Babili, S., & Khashab, N. M. (2024). Metal-organic framework-mediated delivery of nucleic acid across intact plant cells. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 16(15), 18245-18251. doi:10.1021/acsami.3c19571
- Zhang, N., Xiong, G., & Liu, Z. (2022). Toxicity of metal-based nanoparticles: Challenges in the nano era. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 10, 1001572. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2022.1001572
- Zhang, Q., Le, T. C., Zhao, S., Shang, C., Hu, M., Zhang, S., Liu, Y., & Pan, S. (2024). Advancements in nanomaterial dispersion and stability and thermophysical properties of nano-enhanced phase change materials for biomedical applications. *Nanomaterials*, 14(13), 1126. doi:10.3390/nano14131126