**World Journal of Environmental Biosciences** Available Online at: **www.environmentaljournals.org** 

Volume 10, Issue 2: 49-55

https://doi.org/10.51847/VfOniOkGXc



# Hip Fracture, Causes, Classification, and Management: A Literature Review

# Mokhtar Ahmed Alsayed<sup>1\*</sup>, Mushari Mana Alqhtani<sup>2</sup>, Ziyad Mohammed Alkhammash<sup>2</sup>, Abdullah Hassan Algarni<sup>2</sup>, Naif Murayh Alsharif<sup>2</sup>, Bashayer Fawaz Al Furaikh<sup>3</sup>, Mohammed Mudhayyif Alqursh<sup>4</sup>, Ali Munir A Alzayer<sup>5</sup>, Ali Hussain Alfaqih<sup>6</sup>, Abdulaziz Saud Alshafai<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Armed Forces Hospital, Taif, KSA. <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medicine, King Khalid University, Abha, KSA. <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Medicine, King Faisal University, Al Ahsa, KSA. <sup>4</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Taif University, Taif, KSA. <sup>5</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Imam Abdulrahman bin Faisal University, Dammam, KSA. <sup>6</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Alqunfudah Medical College, Alqunfudah, KSA. <sup>7</sup>Department of Surgery, Royal Comission Hospital, Jubail industrial, KSA.

## ABSTRACT

The most prevalent reason for admission to an acute orthopedic unit is a proximal femoral or hip fracture. Hip fractures are prevalent fragility fractures among older people, affecting the quality of life, health outcomes, and medical expenses. Hip fractures directly influence public health and are one of the leading causes of disability due to their high mortality and morbidity rates. Therefore, proper management of the hip fracture is significant to hinder the potential difficulties and increase the life quality of such patients. This study aimed to cover the etiology and the management of hip fractures and provide a good review of the published literature. The PubMed database was utilized for article selection, and the following keys were used in the Mesh (("hip fracture" [Mesh]) AND ("management"[Mesh]) OR ("causes" [Mesh])). Identifying possible risk factors for falls and hip fractures, such as age-related physiological changes and low physical activity levels, is crucial. Increasing awareness and avoiding such characteristics may aid in minimizing the long-term and devastating effects of hip fracture displacement determines the fixing method for femoral neck fractures. While arthroplasty is commonly used to treat displaced femoral neck fractures, undisplaced or mildly displaced femoral neck fractures can be repaired by a sliding hip screw or a series of cancellous lag screws. The deformation integrity and the lateral cortex preservation are important factors in implantation selection in intertrochanteric femur fractures.

Keywords: Hip fracture, Evaluation, Management, Surgery

Corresponding author: Mokhtar Ahmed Alsayed e-mail ⊠ Dr\_mokhtar86@hotmail.com Received: 10 April 2021 Accepted: 23 June 2021

## INTRODUCTION

The most prevalent reason for admission to an acute orthopedic unit is a proximal femoral or hip fracture. More than 86,000 such fractures occur yearly in the United Kingdom. In 1990, there were 1.3 million people in the world; by 2050, that number may rise to 7-21 million, depending on pattern changes (Gullberg *et al.*, 1997). In developed countries, treating a hip fracture necessitates a multidisciplinary approach. The patient would first present to an ambulance and then to an accident and emergency unit before passing through radiology, anesthesia, orthopedic surgery, medicine, and rehabilitation departments. When the patient leaves the hospital, medical and social care in the community may be required (Keene *et al.*, 1993; Gullberg *et al.*, 1997). After one month, the mortality rate linked with a hip fracture is around 5-10%. The mortality rate rises to around 30% a year following the fracture, compared to an annual death rate of around 10% in this age range. Only about a third of fatalities are related to hip fracture, although patients and families frequently believe that the fracture was a major factor in the last illness. More than a tenth of those who survive will not be able to return to their old residence. The majority of the remaining people will have some level of pain or impairment (Keene *et al.*, 1993; Roche *et al.*, 2005). Therefore, the proper management of hip fractures is significant to hinder the potential difficulties and increase the life quality of such patients. These articles aim to cover the etiology and the management of hip fractures and provide a good review of the published literature.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

World Journal of Environmental is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms. (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/</u>).

The PubMed database was used to select articles, and the following keys were utilized in the Mesh (("hip fracture" [Mesh]) AND ("management"[Mesh]) OR ("causes" [Mesh])). Regarding the inclusion criteria, the articles were chosen according to hip fracture, causes, and management. Exclusion criteria included all other articles that lacked the mentioned topics as their primary endpoint.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Hip fractures are most commonly caused by falls. However, it is widely assumed that this disease is linked to osteoporosis. The pharmaceutical industry developed the term "osteoporotic fracture" to describe any fracture experienced by an older individual. Although all older individuals have osteoporosis, they can also be affected by stroke, ocular or inner-ear balance issues, or heart disease, all of which can lead to a fall (To et al., 2014; Guerado et al., 2016). Since osteoporosis by itself never causes a fall, yet falls are the leading cause of hip fractures, a discrepancy must exist in the assumption of causation between hip fracture and osteoporosis in the pathophysiology of this illness. Osteoporosis makes it easier to break a bone with less energy than a not osteoporotic bone. Although all older individuals have osteoporosis, only some will fall, and lower than half of them will get injured due to the fall (Guerado et al., 2016).

Furthermore, more than half of those aged 65 and up who fall will fall again within a year. It has been suggested that the alleged bone metabolism disease that reduces "bone strength" must be addressed to hinder hip fractures. Nonetheless, the data does not support this. The traditional therapy for osteoporosis is vitamin D supplementation, either with or without calcium. However, low plasma 25-hydroxy vitamin D condensation is correlated with the risk of hypertension and high arterial blood pressure (Vimaleswaran *et al.*, 2014). Hence, a permanent treatment with vitamin D, either alone or in combination with calcium, for the treatment and prevention of osteoporosis appears to be inappropriate.

Moreover, this treatment has been linked to increased renal disease and gastrointestinal symptoms. In spite of the risks, over 50% of all people over the age of 50 continue to consume these supplements. In reality, the impact of vitamin D on senior fatality is bizarre: While vitamin D3 appears to reduce fatality, alfacalcidol, vitamin D2, and calcitriol appear without any positive benefits and may even cause hypercalcemia. Furthermore, combining vitamin D3 with calcium treatment increases the risk of nephrolithiasis. However, just because of its positive effects on muscle atrophy, senior individuals' current usage of vitamin D as a method for preventing hip fractures may be encouraged. This would support the theory that hip fractures are caused by falls rather than osteoporosis.

Mineralization deficiency has also been suggested to cause "bone weakening," putting older people at risk of hip fractures known as "osteoporotic." Recent research suggests that the issue with mineralization in the elderly is not a normal calcium reduction in the bone but rather uneven distribution of mineralization. The mineral density of tissue is greater in the periosteum and declines from there to the endosteum; it decreases from the distal to the proximal section of the femur neck and thus changes radially (Guerado *et al.*, 2016). Furthermore, changes in bone elasticity are caused by tissue differences in the femoral neck's axial direction. If the main cause of hip fractures is osteoporosis, many fractures might be avoided by treating osteoporosis. Nevertheless, other factors such as auditory or ophthalmic problems, brain illness, or decreased movement are all possible causes. As a result, taking steps to avoid falls induced by these situations will lower the risk of hip fracture (Avenell *et al.*, 2014; Bjelakovic *et al.*, 2014). Inactive older people are more than twice as likely as active adults to have a pelvic fracture, based on the past 30 years (Lyritis, 1996). Physical inactivity is becoming the utmost significant explanatory parameter for the rising prevalence of hip fractures recorded in emerging and developed nations due to its significantly harmful effect on muscle mass, overall health status, muscle physiology, vitamin D exposure, and bone health (Haves *et al.*, 1996; Slemenda, 1997; Marks, 2010).

While body height, a non-modifiable characteristic, may predispose to a hip fracture, there is a constant link between having a low body mass and an enhanced risk of fracture beyond 50, which may be addressed. This link is more significant in those with poor bone mineral density and who have lost more than 10% of their body weight than their maximum weight. Furthermore, older women with lower body masses are more likely to fracture their hips because they have lower bone mineral density and less soft tissue coverage than women of an average mass (Langlois *et al.*, 2001; Lau *et al.*, 2001; De Laet *et al.*, 2005).

Many aging-related chronic diseases, such as Parkinson's disease and arthritis, significantly enhance the chance of falling and, as a result, the probability of sustaining a hip fracture. Peripheral neuropathies, postural hypertension, and arrhythmias, as well as the presence of Alzheimer's disease and other neurological disorders like stroke, may all enhance the danger of falls and hip fractures. Diabetes, hyperthyroidism, and medical disorders linked to osteoporosis and different types of impairment linked to the falling risk, the walking aids usage, and extended immobility may all enhance the danger of hip fracture. The presence of concurrent clinical diseases, particularly lung disease or cancer, may influence rehospitalization after a hip fracture, as well as the outcomes of an acute hip fracture (Schwartz *et al.*, 2001).

Besides these characteristics, depression or any cognitive impairments can raise the chance of falling and breaking a hip. Furthermore, a cognitive impairment history can limit the rehabilitation efficiency following the hip fracture operation and increase the chance of falling after a hip fracture (Huang *et al.*, 1996).

Except for antibiotics, those who took drugs were found to be less at risk for pelvic fractures. Nevertheless, cimetidine, hypnotic drugs, opioid analgesics, and antihypertensives are linked to an enhanced risk of hip fractures. Moreover, using sedatives, tranquilizers, and any of the three antidepressants has been linked to an enhanced chance of falling and a hip fracture. Alcohol and long-acting sedatives normally reduce reaction time and increase hip fracture risk. Moreover, alcohol addiction can lead to bone balance, poor gait, reduced balance, and enhanced risk-taking behaviors. In addition, Tricyclic antidepressants can raise the risk of hip fracture attributable to their negative hemodynamic adverse effects and drowsiness and confusion. use of corticosteroids and levothyroxine in males has been linked to a higher hip fracture risk. This might result from the negative impact of corticosteroids on bone mineral density. Smoking cigarettes or pipes and tea consumption all raise the hip fracture risk.

In conclusion, age, various age-related physiological changes, poor dietary habits, different types of medicine, and low physical activity may all influence two important predictors of hip fracture, namely femoral bone strength and the tendency to fall. Furthermore, older individuals' susceptibility for hip fracture injuries is believed to be influenced by diminishing muscular, cognitive, ocular, and neurological reflex reactions. Understanding these characteristics may aid in minimizing the long-term and devastating effects of hip fracture injuries (Rees et al., 1977; Rashiq & Logan, 1986; Hemenway et al., 1988).

## Fracture types

Hip fractures are classified into several types using categorization systems. A fracture classification should be highly reliable and reproducible, widely acknowledged, and have prognostic value in clinical situations. Hip fractures are proximal femoral fractures that occur distal to the lesser trochanter within up to 5 cm. They are evaluated and classified based on fracture anatomy on plain radiographs. If necessary, plain radiographs can be complemented by augmented by CT or MRI (Cannon et al., 2009). There are two primary groups with similar patient distribution based on the hip capsule. They are trochanteric and sub-trochanteric fractures, femoral neck fractures, and intra-capsular and extra-capsular basicervical fractures (Khairy et al., 2019).

## Intra-capsular fracture types

In a fragility fracture scenario, intra-capsular hip fractures are true via the femoral neck, as femoral head fractures are not common in older adults. Femoral neck fractures are at risk of non-union with or without structural collapse due to poor fixation or avascular necrosis of the femoral head. In adults, the femoral head is primarily supplied by the distal recurrent arteries that enter the femur on the shaft side of the fracture. Ischemia can result in avascular necrosis from direct injury to the arterial supply crossing the fracture line or a brief arterial impingement resulting from artery stretching or intra-capsular hematoma. Preoperative scintigraphy, electrode measurement. and arthroscopic visualization of ischemia have all been tried, but none of these have proven to be predictive regarding prognosis. Because ischemia may be transient, acute repositioning within hours has been recommended, which may be augmented by hematoma emptying (Heetveld et al., 2009; Loizou & Parker, 2009; Ahmed et al., 2021).

Historically, numerous techniques have classified femoral neck fractures based on fracture displacement visible on anterior-

Table 2. AO/OTA	Classification f	or Hip	Fractures
-----------------	------------------	--------	-----------

posterior radiographs. Garden's Classification has been the most often used (Table 1). Fractures are classified into four phases (Garden, 1961). Garden's categorization has only good interobserver reliability when all four stages are used, but moderate to considerable interobserver reliability when Undisplaced (Garden I-II) and displaced (Garden III-IV) fractures are the main used types of fractures. Prognosis is believed to be affected by a vertical fracture line in the anteriorposterior imaging or posterior wall multi-fragmentation, femoral head diameter, and posterior tilt angulation shown on the lateral imaging (Palm et al., 2012). Nevertheless, the distinction between undisplaced and displaced fractures remains the utmost reliable failure predictor and the most common fracture classification, accounting for about one-third and two-thirds of all femoral neck fractures, respectively (Zlowodzki et al., 2005).

Table 1. Garden's Classification for	or Femoral Neck Fracture
--------------------------------------	--------------------------

Stage	Description	
Ι	Incomplete femoral neck fracture	
II	Complete femoral neck fracture	
III	Partial displacement femoral neck fracture	
IV	Full displacement femoral neck fracture	

#### Extra-capsular fracture types

Due to inadequate fixation, extra-capsular fractures are in danger of non-union and mechanical collapse. Because the fracture line is located laterally to the femoral head's nutritional veins, avascular necrosis is uncommon; nonetheless, muscle interconnections usually deform the fragments, and bleeding into neighboring muscles may be serious and life-threatening. The position of the fracture line and the number of pieces are used to classify fractures. Basicervical fractures are a small percentage of intra- and extra-capsular fractures that are physically located on the capsular attachment line.

Although they are classified as intra-capsular by the AO/OTA (Table 2), they behave biomechanically similar to extracapsular fractures, except for the danger of medial segment rotation due to the muscle attachments lack. Trochanteric fractures affect the trochanter region between the capsule and the lesser trochanter. The ambiguous, misleading, and useless prefixes per-, inter-, and trans- are often used as unneeded prefixes. The AO/OTA Classification is presently the most widely used. It categorizes the trochanteric region into nine severity levels (Mallick & Parker, 2004; Marsh et al., 2007).

Fracture type		Fracture subtype	
		31-A1.1	Through the greater trochanter: (1) non-impacted (2) impacted
31-A1	Femur, proximal, pertrochanteric simple (only 2 fragments)	31-A1.2	Below lesser Trochanter
		31-A1.3	Along intertrochanteric Line
	Femur, proximal, trochanteric fracture, pertrochanteric	31-A2.1	With 1 intermediate Fragment
	multifragmentary (always have posteromedial fragment with lesser trochanter and adjacent medial	31-A2.2	With several intermediate Fragments
	cortex) –	31-A2.3	Extending more than

			1 cm below lessor Trochanter
31-A3 Fem	- Femur, proximal, trochanteric area, intertrochanteric fracture	31-A3.1	Simple oblique
		31-A3.2	Simple transverse
		31-A3.3	Multifragmentary: (1) extending to greater trochanter (2) extending to neck

Simple two-part fractures are covered by fracture type 31-A1, whereas 31-A2 requires a detached lesser trochanter with an unbroken or detached greater trochanter. The subgroups 31-A3.1 and 31-A3.2 represent reverse and transversal fracture lines through the lateral femoral wall—defined as the lateral cortex distal to the greater trochanter—while the most comminuted 31-A3.3 fracture requires both a detached lesser trochanter and a fractured lateral femoral wall.

Other than rare trochanteric fractures in which the greater trochanter is detached, but the smaller trochanter is preserved, the AO/OTA classification includes most fractures classified by earlier classification systems. When all nine kinds are used, the dependability is low, but the reliability skyrockets when only the three primary groups are used (A1-2-3). Subtrochanteric fractures occur distally from the trochanters and account for about 5% of all hip fractures (Pervez *et al.*, 2002; Marsh *et al.*, 2007; Loizou *et al.*, 2010).

#### Management

Early mobility is the major objective of hip fracture therapy since it reduces the chance of postoperative difficulties and increases the long-run fatality rate (Maheshwari *et al.*, 2018; Lu & Uppal, 2019). In turn, unless the patient has substantial comorbidities that pose an intolerable risk, surgical therapy is usually recommended.

#### Surgical treatment of femoral neck fractures

The fracture displacement determines the fixing method for femoral neck fractures. While displaced femoral neck fractures are often treated with arthroplasty, undisplaced or minimally displaced femoral neck fractures (Garden type I or II fractures) may be handled with several cancellous lag screws or a sliding hip screw. Screws are generally inserted in an inverted triangle arrangement with screws positioned posterosuperiorly, anterosuperiorly, and along the inferior femoral neck in the cancellous lag screw method. The cancellous screws must abut the cortical walls to increase fracture stabilization (Zdero et al., 2010; Anbar et al., 2021). Compared to alternative screw fixation patterns, biomechanical studies show that the inverted triangle arrangement with screws contacting the cortical surfaces offers the best mechanical stability (Lu & Uppal, 2019; Selvan et al., 2004). The sliding hip screw is an alternate fixation technique for femoral neck fractures. This fixed-angle device comprises a lag screw that runs parallel to the femoral neck's axis and is then placed into a barrel coupled to a lateral plate. This lag screw is free to move around inside the barrel, allowing minimal movements and compression over the fracture location (Lu & Uppal, 2019).

Furthermore, the lag screw must be positioned near the calcar area (next to the cortex) to optimize stability rather than the central part of the femoral neck. A biomechanical investigation found that screw fixation nearby the calcar cortical had better fracture consistency and stiffness than screw fixation in the center. Importantly, subgroup analysis revealed that patients who received the sliding hip screw fixation had significantly lower reoperation rates than those who did not. Patients who had basicervical or displaced fracture patterns and were current smokers had significantly lower reoperation rates than those who did not. As a result, even though these two surgical strategies produce similar results, the biomechanical advantages of the sliding hip screw fixation translate into better clinical results in situations where bone quality is poor due to smoking, fractures near the intertrochanteric region, or fracture displacement (Lu & Uppal, 2019; Orekhov *et al.*, 2021).

Furthermore, Cancellous screw fixation may assist in the preservation of femoral head and neck blood flow. Aside from unusual scenarios, the surgeon's personal preference for surgical fixation is usually the deciding factor. Displaced femoral neck fractures are connected to a higher rate of avascular necrosis of the femoral head. As a result, arthroplasty is frequently utilized in the elderly to repair these fractures (Parker *et al.*, 2002; Nauth *et al.*, 2017; Guyen, 2019; Lu & Uppal, 2019).

Total Hip Arthroplasty (THA) involves replacing the acetabulum and the femoral head, whereas Hemiarthroplasty involves replacing only the femoral head. Compared to THA, Hemiarthroplasty is a technically easier operation with cheaper expenses, less surgical time, less blood loss, and a decreased dislocation risk (Noor et al., 2020). On the other hand, THA is linked to superior functional results, particularly in physically younger and more active individuals. In addition, acetabular erosion may need conversion to a THA, particularly inactive individuals. THA was linked with a considerably decreased reoperation risk and superior functional results in the literature; meanwhile, Hemiarthroplasty was related to a considerably lower risk of dislocation. Hemiarthroplasty was also linked to a higher likelihood of revision in a recent large retrospective analysis. Although THA is a more expensive operation, the study revealed that THA was linked with lower total expenditures than Hemiarthroplasty after a year of followup. THA's superior functional results and decreased revision rate may be enough to cover the procedure's initial expenses. Overall, patient variables, including the presence of arthritis, activity level previous to injury, the existence of additional comorbidities, and age, should all be considered when deciding between THA and Hemiarthroplasty.

Cemented versus cementless Hemiarthroplasty can also be used to treat displaced femoral neck fractures. Fat embolism, which can result in cardiac problems, is a potential danger of employing a cemented stem. On the other hand, cementless stems have a greater risk of periprosthetic fracture.

In addition, cementless Hemiarthroplasty was linked with a substantially higher risk of intraoperative fracture and considerably worse functional result ratings at one year. Cementless stems were also shown to be linked with a substantially higher risk of overall and implant-related problems. The use of cemented stems for displaced femoral neck fractures is recommended due to the higher frequency of periprosthetic fractures and lower functional result ratings with cementless Hemiarthroplasty.

#### Surgical treatment intertrochanteric femur fractures

The integrity of the lateral cortex and the stability of the fracture pattern plays a big role in implant selection. A well-reduced or intact posteromedial cortical calcar is present in a stable intertrochanteric fracture. The proximal femur can transfer stress and resist medial compressive pressures because the medial buttress is intact (Lewis et al., 2019). However, when employing extramedullary fixation methods, unstable intertrochanteric fracture patterns cannot sustain adequate proximal femur reduction. Common patterns are fractures with internal calcaneus damaged by fracture or a large posterior medial segment, fractures extending to the subtrochanteric region, inverted oblique fractures, or transtrochanteric fractures involving fractures in the lateral cortical wall. Intramedullary implants provide more biomechanical stability than sliding hip screws, which is especially essential in the case of unstable intertrochanteric fractures. In these cases, lack of contact between the posteromedial osseous pieces leads to the higher medial compressive stresses being transferred to the implant. The intramedullary device has a shorter lever arm and is closer to the force vector line of action via the middle of the femoral head. As a result, the nail feels less moment for the same force and may withstand higher loads before failing. In the setting of stable and unstable intertrochanteric fracture models, a biomechanical investigation revealed that using the cephalomedullary device caused similar load to failure and considerably less fracture displacement than using the sliding hip.

Intramedullary fixation has been linked to better radiographic results such as limb shortening or femoral neck shortening and reduced rates of partial union compared to sliding hip screw fixation in unstable intertrochanteric fractures. The existence of transtrochanteric patterns and a lateral wall fracture in reverse obliquity might compromise the stability of an intertrochanteric fracture, necessitating intramedullary treatment. In researches investigating sliding hip screws and intramedullary constructions, lateral cortical wall fracture prevalence was a strong independent predictor of implantation and therapeutic failure when using sliding hip screws. Because the lateral cortical wall functions as a lateral buttress, placing a sliding hip screw in the presence of a lateral wall fracture might result in loss of reduction due to medialization of the femoral shaft and lateralization of the proximal femoral component. Furthermore, the fracture plane for reverse obliquity fractures is almost parallel to the path of the sliding lag screw, resulting in a loss of reduction and substantial femoral neck collapse if this implant is used.

Compared to the 95 blade plate, sliding hip screws were linked with greater failure levels in transtrochanteric fractures or reverse obliquity, based on retrospective investigations. When compared to the 95 blade plate, intramedullary fixation was linked with a reduced risk of failure. On the other hand, in the treatment of reverse obliquity and transtrochanteric fractures and any intertrochanteric fracture with lateral wall fracture, intramedullary nails surpass sliding hip screws. This is because the intramedullary device acts as a substitute lateral wall, preventing medialization of the femoral shaft and lateralization of the proximal femoral component.

The most common mechanism of failure of the sliding hip screw fixation is varus collapse of the femoral neck, which results in lag screw cutoff. As a result, the helical blade with a side plate was proposed as an alternate design to increase anchoring into the osteoporotic femoral neck and head, reducing the chance of implant failure. Compared to a conventional lag screw, biomechanical research revealed that utilizing a helical blade provided considerably better resistance to withdrawal and rotational stability (Sanders *et al.*, 2017; Gao *et al.*, 2018).

The helical blade was linked with a considerably reduced risk of fixation failure in a clinical investigation comparing the sliding hip screw with a helical blade with a lag screw in the context of stable and unstable intertrochanteric fractures. Implant transmission into the femoral head was significantly decreased in the helical blade. In both approaches, the rates of reoperation and cutoff were comparable. Consequently, the side plate with helical blade is a surgical technique with biomechanical and clinical benefits over the typical lag screw approach. Although early research showed that using either the helical blade or the lag screw had similar clinical results, more current studies suggest that utilizing the lag screw has a therapeutic benefit (Ciufo *et al.*, 2017; Stern *et al.*, 2017; Chapman *et al.*, 2018; Lu & Uppal, 2019).

### CONCLUSION

Identifying possible risk factors for falls and hip fractures, such as age-related physiological changes and low physical activity levels, is crucial. Increasing awareness and avoiding such characteristics may aid in minimizing the long-term and devastating effects of hip fracture injuries.

Nevertheless, surgical therapy is usually recommended unless the patient has substantial comorbidities that pose an intolerable risk. The fracture displacement determines the fixing method for femoral neck fractures. While arthroplasty is commonly used to treat displaced femoral neck fractures, undisplaced or mildly displaced femoral neck fractures can be repaired with a sliding hip screw or a series of cancellous lag screws. The integrity of the deformation and the preservation of the lateral cortex are important factors in implantation selection in intertrochanteric femur fractures.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: None

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** None

FINANCIAL SUPPORT: None

# ETHICS STATEMENT: None

## REFERENCES

Ahmed, R. M., A.Elkhader, B., Hassan, W. B., Elsamani, M., & Eisa, R. A. (2021). Knowledge and practices towards breast cancer screening. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research and Allied Sciences*, 10(2), 21-28.

- Anbar, G. H., AlShahrani, S. M., Al Thubyani, M. M., & Almarghlani,
   A. (2021). Oral hygiene status of bell's palsy and diabetic patient: A 23-months implants follow-up case report.
   Annals of Dental Specialty, 9(2), 72-78.
- Avenell, A., Mak, J. C., & O'Connell, D. (2014). Vitamin D and vitamin D analogues for preventing fractures in postmenopausal women and older men. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 6(4). doi:10.1002/14651858.cd000227.pub4
- Bjelakovic, G., Gluud, L. L., Nikolova, D., Whitfield, K., Wetterslev, J., Simonetti, R. G., Bjelakovic, M., & Gluud, C. (2014). Vitamin D supplementation for prevention of mortality in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (1). doi:10.1002/14651858.cd007470.pub3
- Cannon, J., Silvestri, S., & Munro, M. (2009). Imaging choices in occult hip fracture. *The Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 37(2), 144-152. doi:10.1016/j.jemermed.2007.12.039
- Chapman, T., Zmistowski, B., Krieg, J., Stake, S., Jones, C. M., & Levicoff, E. (2018). Helical blade versus screw fixation in the treatment of hip fractures with cephalomedullary devices: Incidence of failure and atypical "medial cutout". *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma*, 32(8), 397-402. doi:10.1097/bot.00000000001193
- Ciufo, D. J., Zaruta, D. A., Lipof, J. S., Judd, K. T., Gorczyca, J. T., & Ketz, J. P. (2017). Risk factors associated with cephalomedullary nail cutout in the treatment of trochanteric hip fractures. *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma*, *31*(11), 583-588. doi:10.1097/bot.000000000000961
- De Laet, C., Kanis, J. A., Odén, A., Johanson, H., Johnell, O., Delmas, P., Eisman, J. A., Kroger, H., Fujiwara, S., Garnero, P., et al. (2005). Body mass index as a predictor of fracture risk: A meta-analysis. *Osteoporosis International*, *16*(11), 1330-1338. doi:10.1007/s00198-005-1863-y
- Gao, Z., Lv, Y., Zhou, F., Ji, H., Tian, Y., Zhang, Z., & Guo, Y. (2018). Risk factors for implant failure after fixation of proximal femoral fractures with fracture of the lateral femoral wall. *Injury*, 49(2), 315-322. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2017.11.011
- Garden, R. S. (1961). Low-angle fixation in fractures of the femoral neck. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. British Volume*, 43(4), 647-663. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.43b4.647
- Guerado, E., Sandalio, R. M., Caracuel, Z., & Caso, E. (2016). Understanding the pathogenesis of hip fracture in the elderly, osteoporotic theory is not reflected in the outcome of prevention programmes. *World Journal of Orthopedics*, 7(4), 218. doi:10.5312/wjo.v7.i4.218
- Gullberg, B., Johnell, O., & Kanis, J. A. (1997). World-wide projections for hip fracture. *Osteoporosis International*, 7(5), 407-413. doi:10.1007/pl00004148
- Guyen, O. (2019). Hemiarthroplasty or total hip arthroplasty in recent femoral neck fractures? Orthopaedics & Traumatology: Surgery & Research, 105(1), S95-S101. doi:10.1016/j.otsr.2018.04.034
- Hayes, W. C., Myers, E. R., Robinovitch, S. N., Van Den Kroonenberg, A., Courtney, A. C., & McMahon, T. A. (1996).
  Etiology and prevention of age-related hip fractures. *Bone*, *18*(1), S77-S86. doi:10.1016/8756-3282(95)00383-5
- Heetveld, M. J., Rogmark, C., Frihagen, F., & Keating, J. (2009). Internal fixation versus arthroplasty for displaced femoral neck fractures: What is the evidence? *Journal of*

 Orthopaedic
 Trauma,
 23(6),
 395-402.

 doi:10.1097/bot.0b013e318176147d

 395-402.

- Hemenway, D., Colditz, G. A., Willett, W. C., Stampfer, M. J., & Speizer, F. E. (1988). Fractures and lifestyle: Effect of cigarette smoking, alcohol intake, and relative weight on the risk of hip and forearm fractures in middle-aged women. *American Journal of Public Health*, 78(12), 1554-1558. doi:10.2105/ajph.78.12.1554
- Huang, Z., Himes, J. H., & McGovem, P. G. (1996). Nutrition and subsequent hip fracture risk among a national cohort of white women. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 144(2), 124-134. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a008899
- Keene, G. S., Parker, M. J., & Pryor, G. A. (1993). Mortality and morbidity after hip fractures. *British Medical Journal*, 307(6914), 1248-1250. doi:10.1136/bmj.307.6914.1248
- Khairy, H. M., El-Alfy, A. T., El-Malt, A. E., & Samy, R. N. (2019). Value of Tip-Apex Distance (TAD) in the fixation of intertrochanteric fractures by Dynamic Hip Screw (DHS). *Archives of Pharmacy Practice*, 1(3), 81-86.
- Langlois, J. A., Mussolino, M. E., Visser, M., Looker, A. C., Harris, T., & Madans, J. (2001). Weight loss from maximum body weight among middle-aged and older white women and the risk of hip fracture: The NHANES I epidemiologic follow-up study. *Osteoporosis International*, 12(9), 763-768. doi:10.1007/s001980170053
- Lau, E. M. C., Lee, J. K., Suriwongpaisal, P., Saw, S. M., De, S. D., Khir, A., & Sambrook, P. (2001). The incidence of hip fracture in four Asian countries: The Asian Osteoporosis Study (AOS). Osteoporosis International, 12(3), 239-243. doi:10.1007/s001980170135
- Lewis, D. P., Wæver, D., Thorninger, R., & Donnelly, W. J. (2019). Hemiarthroplasty vs total hip arthroplasty for the management of displaced neck of femur fractures: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*, 34(8), 1837-1843. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2019.03.070
- Loizou, C. L., & Parker, M. J. (2009). Avascular necrosis after internal fixation of intracapsular hip fractures; A study of the outcome for 1023 patients. *Injury*, 40(11), 1143-1146. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2008.11.003
- Loizou, C. L., McNamara, I., Ahmed, K., Pryor, G. A., & Parker, M. J. (2010). Classification of subtrochanteric femoral fractures. *Injury*, 41(7), 739-745. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2010.02.018
- Lu, Y., & Uppal, H. S. (2019). Hip fractures: Relevant anatomy, classification, and biomechanics of fracture and fixation. *Geriatric Orthopaedic Surgery & Rehabilitation*, 10, 2151459319859139. doi:10.1177/2151459319859139
- Lyritis, G. P. (1996). Epidemiology of hip fracture: The MEDOS study. *Osteoporosis International*, 6(3), 11-15. doi:10.1007/bf01623757
- Maheshwari, K., Planchard, J., You, J., Sakr, W. A., George, J., Higuera-Rueda, C. A., Saager, L., Turan, A., & Kurz, A. (2018). Early surgery confers 1-year mortality benefit in hip-fracture patients. *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma*, 32(3), 105-110. doi:10.1097/bot.000000000001043
- Mallick, A., & Parker, M. J. (2004). Basal fractures of the femoral neck: Intra-or extra-capsular. *Injury*, 35(10), 989-993. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2003.10.019

- Marks, R. (2010). Hip fracture epidemiological trends, outcomes, and risk factors, 1970–2009. International Journal of General Medicine, 3, 1. doi:10.2147/jjgm.s5906
- Marsh, J. L., Slongo, T. F., Agel, J., Broderick, J. S., Creevey, W., DeCoster, T. A., Prokuski, L., Sirkin, M. S., Ziran, B., Henley, B., et al. (2007). Fracture and dislocation classification compendium-2007: Orthopaedic trauma association classification, database and outcomes committee. *Journal* of Orthopaedic Trauma, 21(10 Suppl), S1-133. doi:10.1097/00005131-200711101-00001
- Nauth, A., Creek, A. T., Zellar, A., Lawendy, A. R., Dowrick, A., Gupta, A., Dadi, A., van Kampen, A., Yee, A., de Vries, A. C., et al. (2017). Fracture fixation in the operative management of hip fractures (FAITH): An international, multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*, 389(10078), 1519-1527. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(17)30066-1
- Noor, S. S., Keerio, N. H., Valecha, N. K., & Qureshi, M. A. (2020). Total hip arthroplasty outcome (Hospital Results). *Journal* of Biochemical Technology, 11 (3), 92-96.
- Orekhov, A., Galuzo, V., Kvon, D., Chernyavsky, A., & Akhmedova, F. (2021). Theological education as a way to reproduce "religious capital" (philosophical and legal aspects). *Journal of Advanced Pharmacy Education and Research*, 11(2), 119-123.
- Palm, H., Krasheninnikoff, M., Holck, K., Lemser, T., Foss, N. B., Jacobsen, S., Kehlet, H., & Gebuhr, P. (2012). A new algorithm for hip fracture surgery: Reoperation rate reduced from 18% to 12% in 2,000 consecutive patients followed for 1 year. *Acta Orthopaedica*, 83(1), 26-30. doi:10.3109/17453674.2011.652887
- Parker, M. J., Khan, R. J. K., Crawford, J., & Pryor, G. A. (2002). Hemiarthroplasty versus internal fixation for displaced intracapsular hip fractures in the elderly: A randomised trial of 455 patients m. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. British Volume*, *84*(8), 1150-1155. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.84b8.0841150
- Pervez, H., Parker, M. J., Pryor, G. A., Lutchman, L., & Chirodian, N. (2002). Classification of trochanteric fracture of the proximal femur: A study of the reliability of current systems. *Injury*, *33*(8), 713-715. doi:10.1016/s0020-1383(02)00089-x
- Rashiq, S., & Logan, R. F. (1986). Role of drugs in fractures of the femoral neck. *British Medical Journal (Clinical Research Ed)*, 292(6524), 861-863. doi:10.1136/bmj.292.6524.861
- Rees, L. H., Besser, G. M., Jeffcoate, W. J., Goldie, D. J., & Marks, V. (1977). Alcohol-induced pseudo-Cushing's syndrome. *The Lancet*, 309(8014), 726-728. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(77)92169-9
- Roche, J. J. W., Wenn, R. T., Sahota, O., & Moran, C. G. (2005). Effect of comorbidities and postoperative complications on mortality after hip fracture in elderly people: Prospective observational cohort study. *BMJ*, 331(7529), 1374. doi:10.1136/bmj.38643.663843.55

- Sanders, D., Bryant, D., Tieszer, C., Lawendy, A. R., MacLeod, M., Papp, S., Liew, A., Viskontas, D., Coles, C., Gurr, K., et al. (2017). A multicenter randomized control trial comparing a novel intramedullary device (InterTAN) versus conventional treatment (sliding hip screw) of geriatric hip fractures. *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma*, 31(1), 1-8. doi:10.1097/bot.00000000000713
- Schwartz, A. V., Sellmeyer, D. E., Ensrud, K. E., Cauley, J. A., Tabor, H. K., Schreiner, P. J., Jamal, S. A., Black, D. M., Cummings, S. R., & Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. (2001). Older women with diabetes have an increased risk of fracture: A prospective study. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, 86*(1), 32-38. doi:10.1210/jcem.86.1.7139
- Selvan, V. T., Oakley, M. J., Rangan, A., & Al-Lami, M. K. (2004). Optimum configuration of cannulated hip screws for the fixation of intracapsular hip fractures: A biomechanical study. *Injury*, 35(2), 136-141. doi:10.1016/s0020-1383(03)00059-7
- Slemenda, C. (1997). Prevention of hip fractures: Risk factor modification. *The American Journal of Medicine*, 103(2), S65-S73. doi:10.1016/s0002-9343(97)90028-0
- Stern, L. C., Gorczyca, J. T., Kates, S., Ketz, J., Soles, G., & Humphrey, C. A. (2017). Radiographic review of helical blade versus lag screw fixation for cephalomedullary nailing of low-energy peritrochanteric femur fractures: There is a difference in cutout. *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma*, 31(6), 305-310. doi:10.1097/bot.0000000000853
- To, K. G., Meuleners, L., Bulsara, M., Fraser, M. L., Van Duong, D., Van Do, D., Huynh, V. A. N., Phi, T. D., Tran, H. H., & Do Nguyen, N. (2014). A longitudinal cohort study of the impact of first-and both-eye cataract surgery on falls and other injuries in Vietnam. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, 9, 743-751. doi:10.2147/cia.s61224
- Vimaleswaran, K. S., Cavadino, A., Berry, D. J., Jorde, R., Dieffenbach, A. K., Lu, C., Alves, A. C., Heerspink, H. J. L., Tikkanen, E., Eriksson, J., et al. (2014). Association of vitamin D status with arterial blood pressure and hypertension risk: A mendelian randomisation study. *The lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology*, 2(9), 719-729. doi:10.1016/s2213-8587(14)70113-5
- Zdero, R., Keast-Butler, O., & Schemitsch, E. H. (2010). A biomechanical comparison of two triple-screw methods for femoral neck fracture fixation in a synthetic bone model. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*, 69(6), 1537-1544. doi:10.1097/ta.0b013e3181efb1d1
- Zlowodzki, M., Bhandari, M., Keel, M., Hanson, B. P., & Schemitsch, E. (2005). Perception of Garden's classification for femoral neck fractures: An international survey of 298 orthopaedic trauma surgeons. Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery, 125(7), 503-505. doi:10.1007/s00402-005-0022-4