



## Taxonomic Diversity, Functional Guilds, and Spatial Distribution of Endophytic Fungi in Healthy Spinach via Amplicon Sequencing

Mahmuda Parveen<sup>1</sup>, Sujit Ghosh<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, Sidho-Kanho-Birsha University (SKBU), Purulia-723104, West Bengal, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, Jagannath Kishore College, Purulia-723101, West Bengal, India.

### ABSTRACT

Endophytic fungi, residing within plant tissues without causing disease, play critical roles in plant health and ecosystem dynamics. This study characterized the taxonomic composition, diversity, functional guilds, and spatial distribution of endophytic fungal communities in healthy spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) leaves using ITS1 amplicon sequencing. Surface-sterilized leaf samples were processed for DNA extraction, followed by Illumina MiSeq sequencing. Sequences were clustered into 24 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using QIIME2 and the UNITE database. *Fusarium* spp. dominated (77.23% of reads), followed by *Thanatephorus cucumeris* (19.90%) and *Plectosphaerella* spp. (2.73%). Alpha diversity analysis revealed moderate richness (24 OTUs) but low evenness (Shannon index: 2.097, Simpson index: 0.702). FUNGuild annotation assigned ecological roles to 21 OTUs, with saprotrophs comprising 94.82% of abundance, symbiotrophs 0.04%, and other guilds 5.14%. Multifunctional taxa, such as *Fusarium* (saprotroph-pathotroph-endophyte), were prevalent. Dispersion analysis revealed a highly aggregated community (VMR: 53,647.54, Morisita's Index: 6.76), characterized by the dominance of specific genera. Rank-abundance curves and guild distribution visualizations, generated using R (ggplot2), highlighted *Fusarium* dominance and saprotrophic prevalence. Most taxa exhibited filamentous morphology, aligning with saprophytic strategies. These findings suggest a low-diversity, highly aggregated fungal community with saprotrophic dominance, which may potentially influence spinach health. This study provides a foundation for understanding endophytic fungal ecology in agricultural systems, with implications for crop management.

**Keywords:** Endophytic fungi, Spinach, Fungal diversity, ITS amplicon sequencing, FUNGuild, Alpha diversity

**Corresponding author:** Sujit Ghosh

**e-mail** ✉ [sujit@jkcprl.ac.in](mailto:sujit@jkcprl.ac.in)

**Received:** 02 March 2025

**Accepted:** 21 May 2025

### INTRODUCTION

Endophytic fungi are microorganisms that colonize internal plant tissues without causing visible harm, forming mutualistic associations that enhance host growth, increase stress tolerance, and improve resistance to pathogens (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2009; Belfiore *et al.*, 2024; Diakaki *et al.*, 2025; Riccioni *et al.*, 2025). As integral members of the plant microbiome, they contribute significantly to plant resilience, productivity, and ecological adaptation.

In leafy vegetables such as spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.), core fungal genera such as *Alternaria*, *Vishniacozyma*, *Mycosphaerella*, *Stemphylium*, and *Cladosporium* have been identified, with *Alternaria* and *Vishniacozyma* often dominating seed endophyte communities (Liu D., *et al.*, 2022; Liu M., *et al.*, 2022; Diakaki *et al.*, 2025). These communities can vary based on factors such as seed lot, environment, and plant health status. The dominant phyla associated with spinach and other leafy greens are typically Ascomycota and Basidiomycota (Thazha *et al.*, 2023; Wei *et al.*, 2023; Shen *et al.*, 2024; Eslami *et al.*, 2025). Traditional studies of fungal endophytes have relied on culture-dependent methods and microscopic classification. Media such as Potato Dextrose Agar, Sabouraud Dextrose Agar, Malt Extract Agar, and Czapek-Dox Agar are commonly used for fungal

isolation, with morphological features helping to classify isolates into morphotypes prior to molecular identification (Makhoahle & Gaseitsiwe, 2022; Demeni *et al.*, 2025). However, these methods often overlook non-sporulating or slow-growing taxa.

To overcome these limitations and comprehensively characterize the endophytic fungal community in spinach, we employed high-throughput amplicon sequencing of the ITS region. Root and leaf tissues from healthy spinach plants were pooled for DNA isolation, followed by amplicon sequencing. Quality-filtered reads were processed using bioinformatics pipelines (e.g., DADA2), and taxonomic assignments were made using the UNITE database (Abarenkov *et al.*, 2020; Dhanasekar *et al.*, 2022; Shen & Bao, 2025). Community diversity was assessed through alpha diversity indices (Shannon, Simpson, Chao1, Observed OTUs), and functional ecological roles were assigned using the FUNGuild tool.

Fungal guilds—categorized as saprotrophs (decomposers), pathotrophs (pathogens), and symbiotrophs (mutualists)—help clarify ecological functions. Many fungi exhibit multitrophic strategies, contributing simultaneously to multiple roles (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2009; Aamir *et al.*, 2020; Graefen *et al.*, 2023). Understanding these functional dynamics helps distinguish beneficial endophytes from latent pathogens, which has practical implications for developing biocontrol agents and improving sustainable cropping systems (Baron & Rigobelo, 2022; Wilhelmy *et al.*, 2022; Kwatra *et al.*, 2024; Nazir *et al.*,

2024).

#### Research gap and justification

While bacterial endophytes have been extensively studied, fungal endophytes—especially in open-field crops like spinach—remain underexplored. There is a significant lack of data regarding their taxonomic diversity, community structure, and ecological functions. Specifically, the diversity (in terms of richness and evenness), dominance patterns, and guild-level contributions of endophytic fungi in spinach have not been quantified. The functional ambiguity of dominant genera such as *Fusarium* limits their biotechnological applications. Moreover, multitrophic potential and functional redundancy within the fungal community remain poorly understood.

#### Objectives of the Study

To address these gaps, this study aimed to:

- Isolate and identify endophytic fungi from the roots and leaves of healthy spinach.
- Analyze their diversity using amplicon sequencing of the ITS region.
- Assign ecological guilds to the fungal taxa to evaluate their functional roles within the plant.

These efforts offer a comprehensive understanding of fungal endophyte diversity and ecological functionality in spinach, contributing to the development of microbial-based strategies for sustainable agriculture.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Sample collection

To investigate the endophytic fungal communities, healthy (asymptomatic) spinach plants (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) were cultivated in a controlled greenhouse environment. The soil used for cultivation was characterized by low bulk density (0.825 g/cc), high porosity (68.87%), neutral pH (7.2), and elevated nutrient levels, including nitrogen (1120 mg/kg), phosphorus (106 mg/kg), and potassium (143 mg/kg). Under these conditions (soil humidity maintained at 60% and temperature at  $30 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ), spinach plants were grown to maturity. From ten randomly selected plants, one healthy leaf and the corresponding root from the same plant were sampled, totalling ten leaf and ten root samples. Surface sterilization of plant tissues followed the protocol of Petrini (1986), which involved immersion in 70% ethanol for 60 seconds, followed by immersion in 1% sodium hypochlorite for 30 seconds. Sterilized leaf and root fragments were stored at  $4^\circ\text{C}$  for 24–48 hours to preserve DNA integrity for downstream analyses.

#### DNA extraction and amplicon sequencing

Total genomic DNA was extracted from approximately 0.25 g of surface-sterilized spinach leaf tissue (sample FP) using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, catalogue #69104, 2023 version), following the manufacturer's instructions. This kit was selected for its effectiveness in isolating high-quality DNA from plant tissues with minimal contamination by polysaccharides and polyphenols, ensuring compatibility with downstream PCR and sequencing applications. For samples with high polysaccharide content, a CTAB-based protocol (Doyle & Doyle, 1987) was used to enhance DNA yield. DNA quality and quantity

were assessed using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) and 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The fungal internal transcribed spacer (ITS1) region was amplified using primers ITS1-F (5'-CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA-3'), targeting the 18S rRNA gene, and a reverse primer (5'-GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC-3'), targeting the 5.8S rRNA gene. PCR was performed in a Bio-Rad T100 thermal cycler with the following conditions: initial denaturation at  $95^\circ\text{C}$  for 3 minutes, 35 cycles of denaturation at  $95^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 seconds, annealing at  $55^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 seconds, and extension at  $72^\circ\text{C}$  for 45 seconds, followed by a final extension at  $72^\circ\text{C}$  for 5 minutes. Amplicons were purified using a QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform. Sequencing generated 250 bp paired-end reads with a depth of 204,332 raw reads (191,063 effective tags, ~45,383,772 bases), sufficient to capture the fungal community diversity.

#### Sequence processing and taxonomic assignment

Raw sequence reads from the Illumina MiSeq platform (250 bp paired-end) were processed to ensure high-quality data for taxonomic analysis. Paired-end reads were demultiplexed based on unique barcodes and merged using FLASH (v1.2.7) to generate raw tags. Quality filtering was performed using QIIME (v1.7.0), with a Q20 quality score threshold (sequencing error rate  $<1\%$ ) applied to remove low-quality reads, resulting in clean tags. Chimeric sequences were detected and removed using the UCHIME algorithm (Edgar *et al.*, 2011) against the SILVA database (Quast *et al.*, 2013).

Sequences were clustered into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) at a 97% similarity threshold using UPARSE (v7.0.1090) (Edgar, 2013). Taxonomic assignments were made at the genus or species level by comparing representative OTU sequences against the UNITE database (v8.3) (Abarenkov *et al.*, 2020) using QIIME's Mothur method with a confidence threshold of 0.8–1. The UNITE database was selected for its comprehensive and curated fungal ITS reference sequences, optimized for fungal taxonomy.

#### Alpha diversity analysis

Fungal alpha diversity was assessed to evaluate the richness, evenness, and phylogenetic diversity of endophytic communities in sample FP. Observed Species, Shannon, Simpson, Chao1, ACE, Good's coverage, and PD Whole Tree indices were calculated using QIIME (v1.7.0) (Caporaso *et al.*, 2010; Zhao *et al.*, 2025) and visualized in R (v 4.4.0). OTU tables, generated at a 97% similarity threshold, were rarefied to 89,207 sequences (the normalized effective tag count) to standardize sequencing depth. In QIIME, the alpha\_diversity.py script computed Shannon (entropy-based diversity, reflecting richness and evenness), Simpson (dominance-based diversity), Chao1 (richness estimator accounting for rare taxa), and ACE (abundance-based coverage estimator) (Chao, 1984; Chao & Lee, 1992). Good's coverage ('Good average') estimated sampling completeness, with a value of 1.000 indicating full community capture (Good, 1953). PD Whole Tree quantified phylogenetic diversity, measuring evolutionary breadth across OTUs (Faith *et al.*, 1992), calculated using QIIME's phylogenetic\_diversity.py. These indices were selected for their complementary insights: Chao1 and ACE estimate total species richness, Shannon and Simpson assess community diversity and evenness, and PD Whole Tree captures phylogenetic variation.

Rarefaction curves for Observed Species, Shannon, Simpson, Chao1, and PD Whole Tree confirmed sufficient sequencing depth, flattening at 89,207 sequences.

#### Functional annotation with FUNGuild

Ecological functions of fungal operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were annotated using the FUNGuild tool (Nguyen *et al.*, 2016). The FUNGuild repository was cloned from GitHub [https://github.com/UMNFuN/FUNGuild] and executed in a Linux environment. An input OTU table, containing absolute abundance values for 21 OTUs (3 unclassified OTUs excluded from analyses) from sample FP (surface-sterilized spinach tissue), was processed using the FUNGuild Python script (v1.1) configured with the fungal database (FUNGuild v1.1, 2023). OTUs were assigned to ecological guilds, including saprotrophs, symbiotrophs, pathotrophs, and multifunctional guilds (e.g., saprotroph-pathotroph-endophyte). Only guild assignments with 'probable' or 'highly probable' confidence levels were retained to ensure reliability. Three unclassified OTUs, representing <0.1% of total sequence reads, were excluded from guild proportion analyses due to insufficient taxonomic resolution or lack of matching entries in the database.

#### Statistical analysis and visualization in R

Data processing and statistical analyses were performed using R (version 4.4.0). The tidyverse package suite (v2.0.0), including dplyr (v1.1.4), tidyr (v1.3.1), and readr (v2.1.5), was used for data wrangling and transformation. Visualizations, including rank-abundance curves and trophic mode distributions, were generated using ggplot2 (v3.5.2). Rank-abundance curves were plotted to assess the dominance and rarity of fungal genera, with abundance data log-transformed as needed to enhance visualization clarity. To infer ecological strategies (e.g., endophyte, pathogen, saprophyte) of dominant fungal genera, OTU guild assignments from FUNGuild were cross-referenced with literature-based annotations and disease association data. Growth morphology (e.g., filamentous, yeast) was integrated with abundance and guild data to explore correlations with

ecological function, using dplyr for data manipulation and ggplot2 for visualization. Multifunctional taxa (OTUs/genera with multiple guild assignments) were identified by parsing FUNGuild outputs to detect guild co-occurrence.

#### Dispersion and aggregation analysis

To assess the spatial distribution patterns of endophytic fungal communities in spinach endosphere (sample FP), a suite of dispersion and aggregation indices was applied, following methodologies from ecological studies (Tirkey & Saxena, 2016). Sample units were defined as the fungal sequence read counts per operational taxonomic unit (OTU) obtained from ITS1 amplicon sequencing of surface-sterilized leaf tissues, resulting in 24 OTUs that represented the endophytic community. The Variance-to-Mean Ratio (VMR) was calculated as  $VMR = \text{variance} / \text{mean}$ , where a value of 1 indicates a random (Poisson) distribution, <1 denotes a regular distribution, and >1 suggests aggregation (negative binomial). Additional indices included Lloyd's Index of Mean Crowding ( $X^* = \bar{x} + [s^2 / \bar{x}] - 1$ ), which accounts for density and clumping, and the derived Patchiness Index ( $X^* / \bar{x}$ ), where values <1, =1, and >1 indicate dispersed, random, and aggregated distributions, respectively. David and Moore's Index of Clumping was calculated as  $IDM = VMR - 1$ , with values >0 reflecting contagious patterns, 0 for random, and <0 for regular distribution. Cole's Index ( $I = [X^* - \bar{x}] / \bar{x}$ ) measures aggregation intensity, while Morisita's Index ( $Im = n \sum [xi (xi - 1)] / N [N - 1]$ ) tests for unevenness in distribution, where  $n$  is the number of sample units,  $xi$  the count per unit, and  $N$  the total count. Formulas and interpretations followed sources: Cole (1946), David and Moore (1954), Morisita (1962), and Lloyd (1967). All calculations were performed in R (v4.4.0) using custom scripts, with the *vegan* package (v2.6-10) supporting OTU table processing and ecological analysis (Oksanen *et al.*, 2013). Different formula of Aggregation Indices and Their Interpretation mentioned in **Table 1**.

**Table 1.** Different formula of Aggregation Indices and Their Interpretation.

| Index                                                  | Purpose                                                               | Formula & Interpretation                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Variance Mean Ratio (VMR)</b>                       | Indicates the nature and pattern in space and time.                   | <b>Formula:</b> $VMR = \text{Variance} / \text{Mean}$ .... (1)<br><b>Interpretation:</b><br>$VMR = 1 \rightarrow \text{Random (Poisson)}$<br>$VMR < 1 \rightarrow \text{Regular}$<br>$VMR > 1 \rightarrow \text{Aggregated (clumped)}$ |
| <b>Lloyd's Mean Crowding (1967)</b>                    | Indicates mean crowding, considering clumping and population density. | <b>Formula:</b> $X^* = \bar{x} + [s^2 / \bar{x}] - 1$ ... (2)                                                                                                                                                                          |
| <b>Patchiness Index</b>                                | Expresses dispersion of the distribution.                             | <b>Formula:</b> $\text{Patchiness} = X^* / \bar{x}$ ... (3)<br><b>Interpretation:</b><br>$< 1 \rightarrow \text{Dispersed}$<br>$= 1 \rightarrow \text{Random}$<br>$> 1 \rightarrow \text{Aggregated}$                                  |
| <b>David &amp; Moore's Clumping Index (DMI) (1954)</b> | Measures clumping of populations.                                     | <b>Formula:</b> $IDM = (s^2 / \bar{x}) - 1$ ... (4)<br><b>Interpretation:</b><br>$0 \rightarrow \text{Random}$<br>$> 0 \rightarrow \text{Contagious}$<br>$< 0 \rightarrow \text{Regular}$                                              |
| <b>Cole's Index (1946)</b>                             | Measures the aggregative nature of distribution.                      | <b>Formula:</b> $I = (\sum x) ^2 / (\sum x^2)$ ... (5)<br><b>Interpretation:</b> Higher values indicate greater aggregation                                                                                                            |
| <b>Morisita's Index (1962)</b>                         | Tests for uneven distribution among sample                            | <b>Formula:</b> $Im = n \sum [xi(xi - 1)] / N(N - 1)$ ... (6)                                                                                                                                                                          |

units.

Where:

 $n$  = No. of samples,  $x$  = count/unit,  $N$  = total count

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Sequence processing

A total of 191,063 effective tags were obtained for sample FP after quality filtering and chimera removal, with an average sequence length of 237.53 nucleotides. Clustering at 97% sequence similarity produced 24 OTUs. The relative abundance of each OTU was calculated based on effective tag counts, enabling quantitative representation of fungal community structure in the FP sample.

## Taxonomic composition of endophytic fungal communities

High-throughput sequencing of the fungal ITS1 region from healthy spinach leaves yielded 24 operational taxonomic units (OTUs), representing a diverse array of fungal genera. *Fusarium*

spp. dominated the community, comprising 77.23% of total abundance (136,749 reads), with *Fusarium* sp. (101922 reads, 57.56%) and *Fusarium subglutinatum* (34827 reads, 19.67%) being the most prevalent. *Thanatephorus cucumeris* was the second most abundant genus (35,227 reads, 19.90%), followed by *Plectosphaerella* spp. (4,834 reads, 2.73%). Other genera, including *Curvularia* (0.11%), *Leptospora* (0.009%), *Ceratobasidiaceae* (0.006%), *Alternaria* (0.006%), *Acremonium* (0.004%), *Cladosporium* (0.002%), *Nigrospora* (0.002%), *Phaeosphaeria* (0.002%), *Sarocladium* (0.001%), and *Aspergillus* (0.001%), were present at low abundances. Several genera, notably *Fusarium* and *Alternaria*, were associated with soft rot disease, though no disease symptoms were observed in sampled leaves. **Table 2** presents the proportional abundance (%) of the top 10 identified endophytic fungal taxa.

**Table 2.** Proportional Abundance (%) of Identified Endophytic Fungal Taxa (Top 10). \*


| Species name                    | % of composition |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| <i>Fusarium</i> sp              | 50.36739166      |
| <i>Thanatephorus cucumeris</i>  | 19.89562801      |
| <i>Fusarium subglutinatum</i>   | 19.66971461      |
| <i>Fusarium</i> sp              | 7.196471233      |
| <i>Plectosphaerella</i> sp      | 2.649399353      |
| <i>Plectosphaerella</i> sp      | 0.077940122      |
| <i>Curvularia verruculosa</i>   | 0.070033153      |
| <i>Curvularia verruciformis</i> | 0.02259134       |
| <i>Curvularia lunata</i>        | 0.012425237      |
| <i>Leptospora</i> sp            | 0.009036536      |

\* Note: Multiple entries for the same genus represent distinct OTUs classified under the same taxonomic label.

The endophytic fungal community in the spinach endosphere is dominated by *Fusarium* (136,749 reads,  $\approx 77.25\%$ ) and *Thanatephorus* (35,227 reads,  $\approx 19.89\%$ ), together comprising over 97% of known abundances, with *Plectosphaerella* contributing a minor 4,834 reads ( $\approx 2.73\%$ ). Rare taxa, each with  $\leq 10$  reads, include *Acremonium* (8), *Cladosporium* (4), *Nigrospora* (3), *Phaeosphaeria* (3), *Sarocladium* (2), and *Aspergillus* (2), indicating low-abundance genera with minimal community impact.

## Abundance distribution of fungal genera

The fungal community exhibited a highly uneven abundance distribution, with *Fusarium* and *Thanatephorus* accounting for over 97% of total reads. Rare taxa, defined as genera with  $\leq 10$  reads, included *Acremonium* (8 reads), *Cladosporium* (4 reads), *Nigrospora* (3 reads), *Phaeosphaeria* (3 reads), *Sarocladium* (2 reads), and *Aspergillus* (2 reads). Rank-abundance analysis revealed a steep curve, indicating low evenness and dominance by a few genera (**Figure 1**).



**Figure 1.** Rank-abundance curve of endophytic fungal genera. The steep slope indicates low evenness and strong dominance by a few genera, suggesting an aggregated community structure with limited taxonomic diversity.

### Alpha diversity of endophytic fungal communities

Alpha diversity analysis of the endophytic fungal community in the spinach endosphere revealed a community characterized by moderate diversity and complete sampling coverage. The analysis, based on 24 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) derived from ITS1 amplicon sequencing, yielded the following metrics: Observed Species was 24, indicating the total number of distinct fungal OTUs detected. The Shannon index (2.097) reflected moderate diversity, accounting for both richness and evenness, while the Simpson index (0.702) suggested a community with notable dominance by a few taxa. Both Chao1 and ACE indices, which estimate total species richness, were

24.000, aligning with the observed species count and indicating no undetected rare taxa. Good's coverage reached 1.000, confirming that the sequencing depth (191,063 effective tags) fully captured the community. The PD Whole Tree index (3.400) indicated moderate phylogenetic diversity, reflecting the evolutionary breadth across OTUs. These metrics collectively highlight a fungal community dominated by a few abundant taxa, such as *Fusarium* (77.25%) and *Thanatephorus* (19.89%), with comprehensive sampling of all present OTUs. Alpha diversity indices of endophytic fungal communities in spinach (FP sample) are presented in **Table 3**.

**Table 3.** Alpha Diversity Indices of Endophytic Fungal Communities in Spinach (FP Sample)

| Sample | Observed Species | Shannon | Simpson | Chao1  | ACE    | Good average | PD Whole Tree |
|--------|------------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------------|---------------|
| FP     | 24               | 2.097   | 0.702   | 24.000 | 24.000 | 1.000        | 3.400         |

### Dominant FUNGuilds of spinach endophytic fungi

The endophytic fungal community associated with the FP treatment was characterized by sequencing 20 operational taxonomic units (OTUs), revealing a diverse assemblage of microfungi dominated by Ascomycota (18 OTUs) and Basidiomycota (2 OTUs).

The genus *Fusarium* was the most abundant, contributing 77.24% of the total abundance (136,749 reads across OTU\_1, OTU\_2, and OTU\_5), classified as mixotroph (Pathotroph-Saprotroph-Symbiotroph). In FUNGuild these OTUs function as foliar endophytes, litter saprotrophs, and plant pathogens, capable of causing damping-off disease and decaying leaves, fruits, seeds, roots, soil, and animal material.

The second most abundant is the *Thanatephorus* (19.9%, 35,227 reads) characterized as a Pathotroph-Saprotroph with a corticioid growth morphology. It functions as a plant pathogen and plant saprotroph, primarily decaying leaves, fruits, and seeds. Third abundant -*Plectosphaerella* (2.73%, 4,834 reads, OTU\_6, OTU\_10, OTU\_18), displayed a Pathotroph-Saprotroph-Symbiotroph trophic mode, with guilds encompassing Endophyte-Plant Pathogen-Plant Saprotroph. These microfungi

are known for their ability to decay leaves, fruits, seeds, and animal material.

*Curvularia* (0.11%, 189 reads across OTU\_7, OTU\_8, OTU\_9, and OTU\_22), all classified as Pathotroph-Saprotroph with a guild of Plant Pathogen-Plant Saprotroph. These microfungi decay wood, leaves, fruits, seeds, roots, and algal material.

Other genera, such as *Alternaria* (OTU\_13), *Cladosporium* (OTU\_24), and *Aspergillus* (OTU\_21), shared the Pathotroph-Saprotroph-Symbiotroph trophic mode. *Acremonium* (OTU\_14) also acts as a fungal parasite, while *Leptospora* (OTU\_11) and *Nigrospora* (OTU\_17) are primarily saprotrophs. *Phaeosphaeria* (OTU\_23) and *Sarocladium* (OTU\_20) contribute as endophytes and pathogens, respectively. Ceratobasidiaceae (OTU\_12) was notable for its endomycorrhizal associations with plant roots (Cevallos *et al.*, 2022).

A striking feature of this endophytic guild is the prevalence of the Pathotroph-Saprotroph-Symbiotroph trophic mode across multiple genera, suggesting a highly adaptable fungal community. The functional guilds and trophic modes of spinach endophytic fungal genera are summarized in **Table 4**.

**Table 4.** Functional Guilds and Trophic Modes of Spinach Endophytic Fungal Genera\*\*

| Genus                          | Guild                                                                                                          | Growth Morphology | Trait    | Confidence Ranking | Trophic Mode                      | OTUs                        | Reads   | Percentage % |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|--------------|
| <i>Fusarium</i>                | Animal Pathogen-Endophyte-Lichen Parasite-Plant Pathogen-Plant Saprotroph-Undefined Saprotroph-Wood Saprotroph | Microfungus       | Soft Rot | Possible           | Pathotroph-Saprotroph-Symbiotroph | OTU_1, OTU_2, OTU_5         | 136,749 | 77.23%       |
| <i>Thanatephorus cucumeris</i> | Plant Pathogen-Plant Saprotroph                                                                                | Corticioid        | None     | Probable           | Pathotroph-Saprotroph             | OTU_3                       | 35,227  | 19.9%        |
| <i>Plectosphaerella</i> sp.    | Endophyte-Plant Pathogen-Plant Saprotroph                                                                      | Microfungus       | None     | Probable           | Pathotroph-Saprotroph-Symbiotroph | OTU_6, OTU_10, OTU_18       | 4,834   | 2.73%        |
| <i>Curvularia</i>              | Plant Pathogen-Plant Saprotroph                                                                                | Microfungus       | None     | Probable           | Pathotroph-Saprotroph             | OTU_7, OTU_8, OTU_9, OTU_22 | 189     | 0.11%        |


|                                   |                                                                                               |              |          |              |                                   |        |    |        |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----|--------|
| <b>Leptospora sp.</b>             | Plant Saprotroph                                                                              | Microfungus  | None     | Probable     | Saprotroph (Single)               | OTU_11 | 16 | 0.009% |
| <b>Ceratobasidiaceae</b>          | Endomycorrhizal-Plant Pathogen-Undefined Saprotroph                                           | Microfungus  | None     | Possible     | Pathotroph-Saprotroph-Symbiotroph | OTU_12 | 11 | 0.006% |
| <b>Alternaria destruens</b>       | Animal Pathogen-Endophyte-Plant Pathogen-Plant Saprotroph-Wood Saprotroph                     | Microfungus  | Soft Rot | Possible     | Pathotroph-Saprotroph-Symbiotroph | OTU_13 | 11 | 0.006% |
| <b>Acremonium sclerotigenum</b>   | Animal Pathogen-Endophyte-Fungal Parasite-Plant Pathogen-Undefined Saprotroph-Wood Saprotroph | Microfungus  | Soft Rot | Possible     | Pathotroph-Saprotroph-Symbiotroph | OTU_14 | 8  | 0.005% |
| <b>Cladosporium sp.</b>           | Animal Pathogen-Endophyte-Lichen Parasite-Plant Pathogen-Plant Saprotroph-Wood Saprotroph     | Microfungus  | None     | Possible     | Pathotroph-Saprotroph-Symbiotroph | OTU_24 | 4  | 0.002% |
| <b>Nigrospora vesicularifera</b>  | Plant Saprotroph-Undefined Saprotroph                                                         | Microfungus  | None     | Probable     | Saprotroph (Single)               | OTU_17 | 3  | 0.002% |
| <b>Phaeosphaeria oryzae</b>       | Endophyte-Plant Pathogen-Plant Saprotroph                                                     | Microfungus  | None     | Probable     | Pathotroph-Saprotroph-Symbiotroph | OTU_23 | 3  | 0.002% |
| <b>Sarocladium strictum</b>       | Plant Pathogen-Plant Saprotroph-Undefined Saprotroph                                          | Microfungus  | None     | Probable     | Pathotroph-Saprotroph             | OTU_20 | 2  | 0.001% |
| <b>Aspergillus penicillioides</b> | Animal Pathogen-Endophyte-Plant Saprotroph-Undefined Saprotroph-Wood Saprotroph               | Microfungus  | None     | Probable     | Pathotroph-Saprotroph-Symbiotroph | OTU_21 | 2  | 0.001% |
| <b>Unclassified</b>               | Not assigned                                                                                  | Not assigned | None     | Not assigned | Unclassified                      | 3 OTUs | 19 | ~0.11% |

\*\*Note: Functional guilds and trophic modes were assigned using the FUNGuild database, and all information was obtained directly from FUNGuild (Tedersoo et al., 2014; Pölme et al., 2021; Kulkarni et al., 2023; Pavlova, 2024).

#### Dispersion and aggregation patterns of endophytic fungi in spinach

Dispersion analysis of fungal communities isolated from spinach endosphere revealed a highly aggregated spatial distribution. The following indices were calculated: To assess the spatial distribution patterns of endophytic fungal communities in spinach, multiple aggregation indices were calculated and subsequently log-transformed to facilitate normalization and comparative interpretation. The VMR and DMI exhibited high values of 53,647.54 and 53,646.54, respectively, both with identical  $\log_{10}$ -transformed values of 4.73, indicating strong aggregation. Similarly, Lloyd's Mean Crowding had the highest original value of 62,499.49,

corresponding to a  $\log_{10}$  value of 4.80, reinforcing the tendency toward clustered fungal populations. Moderate aggregation was observed for the Patchiness Index and Morisita's Index, with original values of 7.06 and 6.76, and corresponding  $\log_{10}$  values of 0.85 and 0.83, respectively. In contrast, Cole's Index reflected comparatively lower aggregation, with a raw value of 2.96 and a  $\log_{10}$  value of 0.47. These results collectively highlight a non-random, spatially aggregated distribution of endophytic fungi in the spinach endosphere. The visual summary of these indices is presented in **Figure 2**. Different Aggregation Indices (Log-transformed values) indicating strong spatial clustering of endophytic fungi (FP) in spinach.



**Figure 2.** Different Aggregation Indices (Log-transformed values) indicating strong spatial clustering of endophytic fungi (FP) in spinach.

High-throughput sequencing revealed that *Fusarium* spp. and *Thanatephorus cucumeris* dominate the endophytic fungal community in healthy spinach leaves, comprising over 97% of the total abundance. The overwhelming presence of *Fusarium* spp. and *T. cucumeris* in the spinach endosphere suggests these fungi may be functionally significant in maintaining plant health or enhancing resilience, despite *Fusarium*'s common association with pathogenicity. Their prevalence in asymptomatic tissues implies a potential shift from a pathogenic to an endophytic or mutualistic lifestyle under certain environmental or physiological conditions.

Comparable patterns of *Fusarium* dominance have been observed in endophytic communities of other crops. Imazaki and Kadota (2015) reported that the majority of endophytic *Fusarium* isolates from tomato stems belonged to the *F. oxysporum*, *F. fujikuroi*, and *F. solani* species complexes, which were also prevalent in surrounding soils. Similarly, Mamaghani *et al.* (2024) and Osman *et al.* (2025) identified *Fusarium* spp. as one of the most abundant endophytes in healthy potato and medicinal plants, respectively, across different agro-climatic regions, with several strains demonstrating mycotoxin production potential. These findings collectively indicate that *Fusarium* spp. are widespread and adaptable fungal endophytes in various plant hosts (Asim *et al.*, 2022; Seema *et al.*, 2023; Ravoori *et al.*, 2024).

The coexistence of *Fusarium* spp. and *T. cucumeris* as dominant fungi in healthy spinach leaves suggests they may participate in complex plant–fungal interactions. Their mutualistic roles could include modulating host immune responses, facilitating nutrient acquisition, or competing with pathogenic microbes. Such interactions may suppress visible disease symptoms, thereby maintaining an asymptomatic but colonized state.

*Thanatephorus cucumeris*, the teleomorph of *Rhizoctonia solani*, is a well-documented soil-borne phytopathogenic basidiomycete responsible for considerable yield losses in numerous economically important crops worldwide (Fernandes *et al.*, 2022; Akber *et al.*, 2023; Shaheen *et al.*, 2023; Jash & Sarkar, 2025). In foliar vegetables, *R. solani* causes a wide range of symptoms including sheath blight, foliar blight, leaf blight, and leaf spot. Particularly in lettuce, an economically important raw-consumed leafy vegetable, *R. solani* is known to

cause severe diseases such as late sugar beet rot, damping-off, and bottom rot, with potential yield losses of up to 70% (Ohkura *et al.*, 2009; Maneea *et al.*, 2024; Benitez-Andrade *et al.*, 2025). Based on hyphal anastomosis reactions, *Rhizoctonia* isolates are divided into 13 anastomosis groups (AGs), each having distinct host specificity (Nandeesh *et al.*, 2021; AlHussain *et al.*, 2022; Malcangi *et al.*, 2023; Naqvi *et al.*, 2024). A comprehensive study in Greece revealed multiple *Rhizoctonia solani* AGs and *Pythium* spp. associated with damping-off in baby leafy vegetables, showing varying pathogenicity and broad host range (Tziros & Karaoglanidis, 2022; Bulusu & Cleary, 2023; Bolay *et al.*, 2024). Interestingly, despite this known pathogenicity, the dominant presence of *T. cucumeris* in healthy spinach tissues may reflect a latent, ecologically balanced role that differs from its aggressive behavior in other crops. Its endophytic colonization might therefore represent a shift in its ecological function—from virulence to conditional mutualism—within the spinach microbiome.

Furthermore, Scherwinski *et al.* (2008) demonstrated that bacterial antagonists can actively suppress *R. solani* in lettuce with negligible short-term effects on non-target microbial communities, indicating that pathogen behavior is strongly influenced by microbial interactions. This supports the possibility that *T. cucumeris*, though closely related to a known pathogen, could assume a benign or even beneficial role within a balanced endophytic community.

These findings underscore the need to understand better the dual roles of endophytic fungi, which are traditionally viewed as pathogens. Unravelling the ecological functions of their components within the plant microbiome could aid in developing microbiome-based strategies for crop protection and yield enhancement. Furthermore, assessing the environmental or host-driven triggers that facilitate a transition from endophytism to pathogenicity is critical for managing food safety risks associated with latent toxin producers and ensuring sustainable crop production.

#### Alpha diversity discussion

The alpha diversity analysis of the spinach endophytic fungal community revealed moderate diversity, with 24 observed operational taxonomic units (OTUs), a Shannon index of 2.097

and a Simpson index of 0.702, indicating community has several species present, but a few may be more abundant than others, alongside complete sampling coverage (Good's coverage = 1.000). These metrics suggest a community characterized by limited evenness, dominated by a small number of abundant taxa, notably *Fusarium* and *Thanatephorus*. The high Simpson index (approaching 1) indicates low evenness, a pattern consistent with endophytic fungal communities in other crops, such as the Olive plant (*Olea europaea* L.), where dominant genera similarly drive community structure despite moderate OTU richness (Costa *et al.*, 2021; Pisano *et al.*, 2023). The near-perfect sampling coverage further underscores that this dominance is not an artifact of under sampling but reflects true ecological dynamics.

Fungal endophytes, which asymptotically colonize plant tissues, occupy a dynamic niche along the mutualist-pathogen continuum, often conferring increased fitness to their hosts through pathogen suppression, stress tolerance, or nutrient acquisition (Dipalma *et al.*, 2022; Grabka *et al.*, 2022). In this study, the high prevalence of *Fusarium* within the spinach endophytic community aligns with growing evidence that certain *Fusarium* species function as beneficial endophytes rather than pathogens. For instance, *Fusarium proliferatum* isolated from *Cissus quadrangularis* produces phenolics, terpenoids, and unsaturated alkenes that inhibit fungal pathogens like *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Fusarium oxysporum* at concentrations as low as 0.2 mg/mL, demonstrating its potential as a biocontrol agent (Singh *et al.*, 2021b; Marian *et al.*, 2024). Similarly, *Fusarium oxysporum* can act as a root endophyte, providing biocontrol through endophyte-mediated resistance (De Lamo & Takken, 2020; Fiodorova *et al.*, 2022). These antifungal mechanisms underscore *Fusarium*'s dual ecological role: while often perceived as pathogens, their endophytic strains can enhance host health by suppressing competing pathogens or priming plant immune responses (Singh *et al.*, 2021a; Dongmo & Tamesse, 2022).

The dominance of *Fusarium* in the spinach endosphere may thus reflect a mutualistic relationship where host plants selectively enrich microbial partners offering protective benefits. Such associations are increasingly recognized in agricultural contexts, where endophyte-mediated pathogen inhibition reduces reliance on synthetic fungicides (Grabka *et al.*, 2022). This parallels findings in medicinal and crop plants, where *Fusarium* endophytes contribute to host fitness through secondary metabolite production, niche exclusion, or induced systemic resistance—a paradigm shift in understanding this genus' ecological versatility (Singh *et al.*, 2021a, 2021b).

#### Trophic mode discussion

Trophic structure through FUNGuild analysis of the spinach endophytic fungal community revealed that saprotrophic fungi, especially *Fusarium* spp. and *Thanatephorus cucumeris*, unitedly dominated the community—comprising 97.75% of classified sequence reads—while pathotrophic and symbiotrophic guilds contributed minimally (0.65% and 1.47%, respectively). This striking dominance suggests that decomposition processes within the spinach endosphere are primarily mediated by these saprotrophic taxa, potentially playing a significant role in nutrient cycling despite their occasional secondary pathogenic roles. Similar patterns have been documented in rice roots, where *Fusarium* spp. were also

prevalent and likely instrumental in nutrient recycling, as demonstrated by Pili *et al.* (2016), who identified *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complexes as major components of the rice root endophytic community; notably, *Fusarium oxysporum* isolates showed clear divergence between irrigated and upland ecosystems, underscoring the influence of environmental conditions on endophyte community structure. Mechanistically, the saprotrophic predominance of *Fusarium* and *Thanatephorus* is likely to facilitate organic matter breakdown in the spinach endosphere, thereby enhancing nutrient availability. At the same time, their pathogenic traits remain suppressed in healthy plants. This mechanism is further supported by Pili *et al.* (2016), who observed the widespread adaptability and functional importance of *Fusarium* spp. across diverse rice agroecosystems. The predominance of saprotrophic fungi in the spinach endosphere underscores the potential for harnessing these taxa to enhance nutrient cycling, which could inform sustainable agricultural practices and biocontrol strategies. Moreover, as emphasized by Pili *et al.* (2016), endophytic fungi represent a valuable resource for future searches for biological control and growth-promoting agents, reinforcing their ecological and agronomic relevance.

#### High-throughput sequencing (HTS)

HTS has enabled a detailed and accurate assessment of the endophytic fungal community structure, overcame the limitations of culture-dependent methods and revealed the true ecological diversity within plant tissues. In the current analysis of spinach, the functional guild composition of endophytic fungi was overwhelmingly dominated by mixotrophic modes—specifically, pathotroph-saprotroph-symbiotroph (141,622 reads, 12 OTUs) and pathotroph-saprotroph combinations (35,418 reads, 6 OTUs)—which together accounted for 177,040 reads (99.99%) across 18 OTUs. In contrast, only 19 reads (2 OTUs) were assigned exclusively to the saprotroph guild (0.01%). The key taxa contributing to this dominance were *Fusarium* spp. (136,749 reads, 77.23%) and *Thanatephorus cucumeris* (35,227 reads, 19.89%), reflecting their ecological versatility and adaptability to complex plant microenvironments. In contrast, fungi exhibiting a single trophic mode, such as saprotrophs, were exceedingly rare, contributing only 19 reads (0.01%) from *Leptospora* sp. And *Nigrospora vesicularifera*. Additionally, a small fraction of OTUs (~0.011%) remained unclassified due to insufficient taxonomic resolution, highlighting the current limitations of functional annotation databases like FUNGuild. This mixotrophic dominance aligns with findings of Wang *et al.* (2022) in case *Sophora alopecuroides*, where saprotrophs and mixotrophs were also prevalent, and supports the notion that endophytic fungi often possess flexible ecological strategies to thrive in diverse and fluctuating internal plant environments. The presence of mixotrophs suggests that these fungi can shift between nutritional modes—acting as decomposers, pathogens, or mutualists—depending on the physiological state of the host tissue and environmental conditions. Such versatility may be crucial for their survival and ecological function, enabling them to contribute to nutrient cycling during plant senescence or stress, while remaining benign or even beneficial during healthy plant growth. This dynamic functional structure underscores the importance of considering both community



composition and ecological guilds when evaluating the roles of endophytic fungi in plant health, stress resilience, and potential biotechnological applications (Wang *et al.*, 2022).

#### Aggregation patterns

The high values across all aggregation indices confirm that the endophytic fungal community in spinach is strongly clustered, with dominant taxa such as *Fusarium* and *Thanatephorus* forming localized aggregations. These fungi, known for their multi-trophic versatility, can function as saprotrophs, pathogens, or mutualists, depending on the plant's physiological conditions. This aligns with ecological theories suggesting that trophic plasticity supports niche dominance in competitive environments such as the plant endosphere.

Such functional and spatial clustering likely enhances resource capture, colonization efficiency, and resilience to environmental fluctuations. For instance, Morisita's Index (6.76) and an exceptionally high VMR (53,647.54), along with Lloyd's Index of Patchiness (62,499.49), indicate that these fungi do not distribute randomly but instead occupy specific ecological niches, possibly as a survival or competitive strategy. Similarly, the remaining three indices reflect the same trend.

This interpretation is further validated by Griffin *et al.* (2001), who used Lloyd's Index of Patchiness to assess the spatial distribution of *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. niger* in peanut fields with a history of aflatoxin contamination. Their values ranged from 2.32 to 6.55, representing a moderate to high aggregation of these fungi. Importantly, they linked such aggregation to pathogen persistence and environmental risk zones within agricultural fields. Compared to Griffin *et al.* (2001) the orders-of-magnitude higher Lloyd's value in the present study reflects much stronger patchiness, likely due to the compartmentalized niche structure of the endosphere and the active colonization strategies of endophytes.

This finding is also consistent with earlier studies in wheat (Gdanetz & Trail, 2017), where *Fusarium* exhibited multitrophic behavior and clustered colonization patterns. Their combined saprotrophic and pathogenic capacities enable them to persist during both healthy and senescent phases of plant growth, thereby participating in nutrient cycling while maintaining their ecological presence.

#### CONCLUSION

This study analysed endophytic fungal communities in healthy spinach leaves. It revealed a low-diversity, highly aggregated community. *Fusarium* spp. dominated at 77.23%, followed by *Thanatephorus cucumeris* at 19.90%. ITS1 amplicon sequencing confirmed these results. The community showed moderate species richness with 24 OTUs. Low evenness was indicated by Shannon (2.097) and Simpson (0.702) indices. These taxa exhibited mixotrophic behaviors, primarily saprotrophic. Secondary pathotrophic and symbiotrophic roles were also observed. FUNGuild analysis determined saprotrophs accounted for 94.82% of abundance. This suggested a critical role in nutrient cycling. It potentially enhanced plant resilience. The study identified a highly aggregated spatial distribution (VMR: 53,647.54, Morisita's Index: 6.76). This indicated niche-specific colonization. The ecological versatility of the dominant genera likely drove this pattern. The findings challenged the view of *Fusarium* and *Thanatephorus* as solely pathogenic, and

proposed potential mutualistic roles in asymptomatic spinach. The study established a foundation for understanding trophic plasticity in mixotrophic endophytic fungi. It highlighted their role in nutrient cycling and functional shifts. It also provided a basis for exploring spatial colonization and cross-kingdom microbial interactions, specifically with bacteria present in the endosphere. These will inform advanced microbiome management and food safety strategies for leafy greens. Future studies will investigate environmental and host factors that facilitate the transition of trophic structure and optimize biotechnological applications.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** The authors sincerely thank the Principal of Jagannath Kishore College, Purulia, India, for providing laboratory facilities for this research. We want to express our sincere gratitude to the Department of Computer Science of the same institution for providing access to the computer laboratory. Special thanks are extended to Mr. Sandip Sao and Mr. Ranjan Kumar Misra for their technical support and assistance throughout the study.

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** None

**FINANCIAL SUPPORT:** None

**ETHICS STATEMENT:** All procedures involving the collection and processing of plant material adhered to institutional, national, and international ethical guidelines. Spinach seeds were procured from a local seed shop, commonly used for field cultivation, and were grown in pots under controlled conditions. No endangered or protected plant species were involved in this study. Furthermore, no experiments involving humans or animals were conducted. Endophytic fungal species were identified through DNA extracted using a commercially available and internationally recognized DNA isolation kit.

#### REFERENCES

- Aamir, M., Rai, K. K., Zehra, A., Kumar, S., Yadav, M., Shukla, V., & Upadhyay, R. S. (2020). Fungal endophytes: classification, diversity, ecological role, and their relevance in sustainable agriculture. In *Microbial endophytes: prospects for sustainable agriculture* (pp. 291–323). Elsevier. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-818734-0.00012-7
- Abarenkov, K., Zirk, A., Piirmann, T., Pöhönen, R., Ivanov, F., Nilsson, R. H., & Kõljalg, U. (2020). *UNITE QIIME release for Fungi*. doi:10.15156/bio/1264708
- Akber, M. A., Mubeen, M., Sohail, M. A., Khan, S. W., Solanki, M. K., Khalid, R., Abbas, A., Divvela, P. K., & Zhou, L. (2023). Global distribution, traditional and modern detection, diagnostic, and management approaches of *Rhizoctonia solani* associated with legume crops. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 13, 1091288. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2022.1091288
- AlHussain, B. S., AlFayez, A. A., AlDuhaymi, A. A., AlMulhim, E. A., Assiri, M. Y., & Ansari, S. H. (2022). Impact of different antibacterial substances in dental composite materials: a comprehensive review. *International Journal of Dental Research and Allied Sciences*, 2(1), 1–7. doi:10.51847/jg2xu2PbJK

- Asim, S., Hussain, A., Murad, W., Hamayun, M., Iqbal, A., Rehman, H., & Lee, I. J. (2022). Endophytic *Fusarium oxysporum* GW controlling weed and an effective biostimulant for wheat growth. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13, 922343. doi:10.3389/fpls.2022.922343
- Baron, N. C., & Rigobelo, E. C. (2022). Endophytic fungi: a tool for plant growth promotion and sustainable agriculture. *Mycology*, 13(1), 39–55. doi:10.1080/21501203.2021.1945699
- Belfiore, C. I., Galofaro, V., Cotroneo, D., Lopis, A., Tringali, I., Denaro, V., & Casu, M. (2024). Studying the effect of mindfulness, dissociative experiences, and feelings of loneliness in predicting the tendency to use substances in nurses. *Journal of Integrative Nursing and Palliative Care*, 5, 1-7. doi:10.51847/LASijYayRi
- Benitez-Andrade, D. L., Aguirre-Castro, D., Rêgo, T. J. S., Correia, K. C., Reis, A., Leyva-Mir, S. G., & Michereff, S. J. (2025). Diversity and pathogenicity of anastomosis groups of *Rhizoctonia* associated with bottom rot of field-grown lettuce in Brazil. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 172(5), 1–12. doi:10.1007/s10658-025-03039-8
- Bolay, Ş., Öztürk, E., Tuncel, B., & Ertan, A. (2024). Studying fracture strength of root-treated and reconstructed teeth with two types of post and core. *Annals Journal of Dental and Medical Assisting*, 4(2), 1-6. doi:10.51847/i57dzmzc2A
- Bulusu, A., & Cleary, S. D. (2023). Comparison of dental caries in autistic children with healthy children. *Annals Journal of Dental and Medical Assisting*, 3(2), 14-19. doi:10.51847/wa2pZXE4RJ
- Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., Fierer, N., Peña, A. G., Goodrich, J. K., Gordon, J. I., et al. (2010). QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature Methods*, 7(5), 335–336. doi:10.1038/nmeth.f.303
- Cevallos, S., Herrera, P., Vélez, J., & Suárez, J. P. (2022). Root-associated endophytic and mycorrhizal fungi from the epiphytic orchid *Maxillaria acuminata* in a tropical montane forest in southern Ecuador. *Diversity*, 14(6), 478. doi:10.3390/d14060478
- Chao, A. (1984). Nonparametric estimation of the number of classes in a population. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, 11(4), 265–270.
- Chao, A., & Lee, S. M. (1992). Estimating the number of classes via sample coverage. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 87(417), 210–217. doi:10.1080/01621459.1992.10475194
- Cole, L. C. (1946). A theory of analyzing contagiously distributed populations. *Ecology*, 27(4), 329–341.
- Costa, D., Fernandes, T., Martins, F., Pereira, J. A., Tavares, R. M., Santos, P. M., Baptista, P., & Lino-Neto, T. (2021). Illuminating the *Olea europaea* L. endophyte fungal community. *Microbiological Research*, 245, 126693. doi:10.1016/j.micres.2020.126693
- David, F. N., & Moore, P. G. (1954). Notes on contagious distributions in plant populations. *Annals of Botany*, 18(1), 47–53.
- De Lamo, F. J., & Takken, F. L. W. (2020). Biocontrol by *Fusarium oxysporum* using endophyte-mediated resistance. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, 37. doi:10.3389/fpls.2020.00037
- Demeni, P. C. E., Betote, P. H. D., Foko, G. A. D., Assam, J. P. A., Bite, M. F. B. A., Tchamgoue, E. N., & Nyegue, M. A. (2025). Morphological and molecular characterization of endophytic fungi isolated from *Alstonia boonei* De Wild. *Scientific African*, 27, e02550. doi:10.1016/j.sciaf.2025.e02550
- Dhanasekar, P., Rajayyan, J. S., Veerabadiran, Y., Kumar, K. S., Kumar, K. S., & Chinnadurai, N. (2022). Evaluation of alum and purification process of water by coagulation method. *Bulletin of Pioneering Researches of Medical and Clinical Science*, 1(2), 1-6. doi:10.51847/R8GyfOmMDh
- Diakaki, M., Andreo Jimenez, B., de Lange, E., Butterbach, P., van der Heijden, L., Köhl, J., de Boer, W., & Postma, J. (2025). Spinach seed microbiome characteristics linked to suppressiveness against *Globisporangium ultimum* damping-off. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 101(2), fiaf004. doi:10.1093/femsec/fiaf004
- Dipalma, G., Inchingolo, A. D., Fiore, A., Balestriere, L., Nardelli, P., Casamassima, L., Venere, D. D., Palermo, A., Inchingolo, F., & Inchingolo, A. M. (2022). Comparative effects of fixed and clear aligner therapy on oral microbiome dynamics. *Asian Journal of Periodontics and Orthodontics*, 2, 33-41. doi:10.51847/mK28wdKCIX
- Dongmo, L. F., & Tamesse, J. L. (2023). Population trends of *hilda cameroonensis* tamesse & dongmo (Tettigometridae), a pest of *vernonia amygdalina* delile in Yaoundé, Cameroon. *International Journal of Veterinary Research and Allied Sciences*, 3(1), 1-10. doi:10.51847/CurzkzD60G
- Doyle, J. J., & Doyle, J. L. (1987). A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochemical Bulletin*, 19, 11-15.
- Edgar, R. C. (2013). UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. *Nature Methods*, 10(10), 996–998. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2604
- Edgar, R. C., Haas, B. J., Clemente, J. C., Quince, C., & Knight, R. (2011). UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics*, 27(16), 2194–2200. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381
- Eslami, Z., Tazik, Z., Asili, J., Soheili, V., Akbari Oghaz, N., Rezaei, T., Mottaghipisheh, J., & Shakeri, A. (2025). Unveiling the endophytic fungal communities in Iranian *Achillea* species: molecular insights into host specificity and colonization patterns. *Symbiosis*, 95(2), 225-240. doi:10.1007/s13199-025-01043-4
- Faith, D. P. (1992). Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. *Biological Conservation*, 61(1), 1-10.
- Fernandes, A. L., Malik, J. B., Ansari, S. R., Murali, S., & Thirupathii, J. (2022). Saudi dentists' knowledge and approaches to managing tooth wear: a cross-sectional survey-based analysis. *Turkish Journal of Public Health Dentistry*, 2(2), 1-12. doi:10.51847/p7ulFD4XZm
- Fiodorova, O. A., Sivkova, E. I., & Nikonov, A. A. (2022). Safeguarding beef cattle from gnats and gadflies in the Southern Tyumen Region. *International Journal of Veterinary Research and Allied Sciences*, 2(2), 8-13. doi:10.51847/iVXOeXmSNZ
- Gdanetz, K., & Trail, F. (2017). The wheat microbiome under four management strategies, and potential for endophytes in disease protection. *Phytobiomes Journal*, 1(3), 158–168. doi:10.1094/PBIOMES-05-17-0023-R

- Good, I. J. (1953). The population frequencies of species and the estimation of population parameters. *Biometrika*, 40, 237–264.
- Grabka, R., D'entremont, T. W., Adams, S. J., Walker, A. K., Tanney, J. B., Abbasi, P. A., & Ali, S. (2022). Fungal endophytes and their role in agricultural plant protection against pests and pathogens. *Plants*, 11(3), 384. doi:10.3390/plants11030384
- Graefen, B., Hasanli, S., & Fazal, N. (2023). Behind the white coat: the prevalence of burnout among obstetrics and gynecology residents in Azerbaijan. *Bulletin of Pioneering Researches of Medical and Clinical Science*, 2(2), 1-7. doi:10.51847/vilHm1UG2l
- Griffin, G. J., Smith, E., & Robinson, T. J. (2001). Population patterns of *Aspergillus flavus* group and *A. niger* group in field soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 33(2), 253–257. doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00133-4
- Imazaki, I., & Kadota, I. (2015). Molecular phylogeny and diversity of *Fusarium* endophytes isolated from tomato stems. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 91(9), fiv098. doi:10.1093/femsec/fiv098
- Jash, S., & Sarkar, A. (2025). Rhizoctonia. In *Compendium of Phytopathogenic Microbes in Agro-Ecology: Vol. 1 Fungi* (pp. 659-682). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-81770-0\_28
- Kulkarni, S., Zope, S., Suragimath, G., Varma, S., & Kale, A. (2023). The influence of female sex hormones on periodontal health: a regional awareness study. *Annals of Orthodontics and Periodontics Specialty*, 3, 10-18. doi:10.51847/v4EFMh6WEf
- Kwatra, D., Venugopal, A., & Anant, S. (2024). Studying the efficacy of tolmetin radiosensitizing effect in radiotherapy treatment on human clonal cancer cells. *Bulletin of Pioneering Researches of Medical and Clinical Science*, 3(2), 22-28. doi:10.51847/Uuhjk0fMC8
- Liu, D., Cai, J., He, H., Yang, S., Chater, C. C., & Yu, F. (2022). Anemochore seeds harbor distinct fungal and bacterial abundance, composition, and functional profiles. *Journal of Fungi*, 8(1), 89. doi:10.3390/jof8010089
- Liu, M., Tang, Q., Wang, Q., Xie, W., Fan, J., Tang, S., Liu, W., Zhou, Y., & Deng, X. (2022). Studying the sleep quality of first pregnant women in the third trimester of pregnancy and some factors related to it. *Journal of Integrative Nursing and Palliative Care*, 3, 1-6. doi:10.51847/K1PUWsJ24H
- Lloyd, M. (1967). Mean crowding. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 36(1), 1–30.
- Makhoahle, P., & Gaseitsiwe, T. (2022). Efficacy of disinfectants on common laboratory surface microorganisms at R.S Mangaliso Hospital, NHLS Laboratory, South Africa. *Bulletin of Pioneering Researches of Medical and Clinical Science*, 1(1), 1-12. doi:10.51847/d5bXpXAtcl
- Malcangi, G., Patano, A., Trilli, I., Piras, F., Ciocia, A. M., Inchingolo, A. D., Mancini, A., Hazballa, D., Venere, D. D., Inchingolo, F., et al. (2023). A systematic review of the role of soft tissue lasers in enhancing esthetic dental procedures. *International Journal of Dental Research and Allied Sciences*, 3(2), 1-8. doi:10.51847/DWXltUS9Lp
- Mamaghani, N. A., Masiello, M., Somma, S., Moretti, A., Saremi, H., Haidukowski, M., & Altomare, C. (2024). Endophytic *Alternaria* and *Fusarium* species associated to potato plants (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) in Iran and their capability to produce regulated and emerging mycotoxins. *Heliyon*, 10(5), e26385. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e26385
- Manee, A. S. B., Alqahtani, A. D., Alhazzaa, A. K., Albalawi, A. O., Alotaibi, A. K., & Alanazi, T. F. (2024). Systematic review of the microbiological impact of sodium hypochlorite concentrations in endodontic treatment. *International Journal of Dental Research and Allied Sciences*, 4(2), 9-15. doi:10.51847/PH80PpWOX7
- Marian, M., Shah, R., Gashi, B., Zhang, S., Bhavnani, K., Wartzack, S., & Rosenkranz, A. (2024). The role of synovial fluid morphology in joint lubrication and function. *International Journal of Veterinary Research and Allied Sciences*, 4(2), 1-4. doi:10.51847/WXAMJiBfBr
- Morisita, M. (1962). Iδ-index, a measure of dispersion of individuals. *Research on Population Ecology*, 4(1), 1–7.
- Nandeesh, C. V., Nandeesh, K. L., & Bhaliya, C. M. (2021). Evolutionary biology and interaction among the anastomosis groups of *Rhizoctonia* spp. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 10(2), 461–466. doi:10.22271/phyto.2021.v10.i2f.13840
- Naqvi, S. A., Abbas, A., Farhan, M., Kiran, R., Hassan, Z., Mehmood, Y., Ali, A., Ahmed, N., Hassan, M. Z., Alrefaei, A. F., et al. (2024). Unveiling the genetic tapestry: exploring *Rhizoctonia solani* AG-3 anastomosis groups in potato crops across borders. *Plants*, 13(5), 715. doi:10.3390/plants13050715
- Nazir, A., Puthuveltil, A. R., Hussain, F. H. N., Hamed, K. E., & Munawar, N. (2024). Endophytic fungi: nature's solution for antimicrobial resistance and sustainable agriculture. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 15, 1461504. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2024.1461504
- Nguyen, N. H., Smith, D., Peay, K. G., & Kennedy, P. G. (2016). FUNGuild: an open annotation tool for parsing fungal community datasets by ecological guild. *Fungal Ecology*, 20, 241–248. doi:10.1016/j.funeco.2015.06.006
- Ohkura, M., Abawi, G. S., Smart, C. D., & Hodge, K. T. (2009). Diversity and aggressiveness of *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Rhizoctonia*-like fungi on vegetables in New York. *Plant Disease*, 93(6), 615–624. doi:10.1094/PDIS-93-6-0615
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P. R., O'Hara, R. B., Simpson, G. L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., Wagner, H., et al. (2013). Package 'vegan'. *Community Ecology Package*, 2(9), 1–295.
- Osman, M. E., Abou-Zeid, A. M., Abu-Saied, M. A., Ayid, M. M., & El-Zawawy, N. A. (2025). Diversity and bioprospecting activities of endophytic fungi associated with different Egyptian medicinal plants. *Scientific Reports*, 15(1), 19494. doi:10.1038/s41598-025-01202-z
- Pavlova, Z. (2024). Material properties and clinical performance of 3d-printed complete dentures: a systematic review. *Annals of Orthodontics and Periodontics Specialty*, 4, 14-25. doi:10.51847/62izsGtXh4
- Petrini, O. (1986). Taxonomy of endophytic fungi of aerial plant tissues. In *Microbiology of the Phyllosphere* (pp. 175–187).
- Pili, N. N., Franca, S. C., Kyndt, T., Makumba, B. A., Skilton, R., Höfte, M., Mibey, R. K., & Gheysen, G. (2016). Analysis of fungal endophytes associated with rice roots from irrigated and upland ecosystems in Kenya. *Plant and Soil*, 405(1–2), 371–380. doi:10.1007/s11104-015-2590-6
- Pisano, M., Sangiovanni, G., Frucci, E., Scorziello, M., Benedetto, G. D., & Iandolo, A. (2023). Assessing the reliability of

- electronic apex locators in different apical foramen configurations. *Asian Journal of Periodontics and Orthodontics*, 3, 1-5. doi:10.51847/qOUk00kkRZ
- Pölme, S., Abarenkov, K., Henrik Nilsson, R., Lindahl, B. D., Clemmensen, K. E., Kausarud, H., Nguyen, N., Kjølner, R., Bates, S. T., Baldrian, P., et al. (2020). FungalTraits: a user-friendly traits database of fungi and fungus-like stramenopiles. *Fungal Diversity*, 105(1), 1–6.
- Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., & Glöckner, F. O. (2013). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 41(D1), D590–D596. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1219
- Ravoori, S., Sekhar, P. R., Pachava, S., Pavani, N. P. M., Shaik, P. S., & Ramanarayana, B. (2024). Perceived stress and depression among oral cancer patients - a hospital-based cross-sectional study. *Turkish Journal of Public Health Dentistry*, 4(1), 1-5. doi:10.51847/FoK9xA11JW
- Riccioni, C., Belfiori, B., Cenci, M., & Rubini, A. (2025). Exploring endophytic fungi from *Humulus lupulus* L. for biocontrol of phytopathogenic fungi. *Diversity*, 17(2), 94. doi:10.3390/d17020094
- Rodriguez, R. J., White, J. F., Arnold, A. E., & Redman, R. S. (2009). Fungal endophytes: diversity and functional roles. *New Phytologist*, 182(2), 314–330. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02773.x
- Scherwinski, K., Grosch, R., & Berg, G. (2008). Effect of bacterial antagonists on lettuce: active biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani* and negligible, short-term effects on nontarget microorganisms. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 64(1), 106–116. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00421.x
- Seema, N., Hamayun, M., Hussain, A., Shah, M., Irshad, M., Qadir, M., Iqbal, A., Alrefaei, A. F., & Ali, S. (2023). Endophytic *Fusarium proliferatum* reprogrammed phytohormone production and antioxidant system of *Oryza sativa* under drought stress. *Agronomy*, 13(3), 873. doi:10.3390/agronomy13030873
- Shaheen, R. S., Alsaffan, A. D., Al-Dusari, R. S., Helmi, R. N., & Baseer, M. A. (2023). Self-reported oral hygiene and gum health among dental and medical students, dentists, and physicians in Saudi Arabia. *Turkish Journal of Public Health Dentistry*, 3(1), 9-16. doi:10.51847/SZCGti8IFn
- Shen, F., & Bao, L. (2025). Studying the effects of music on the time to gain independent oral feeding in premature infants. *Journal of Integrative Nursing and Palliative Care*, 6, 1-6. doi:10.51847/xBTC4CiH10
- Shen, K., Xiong, Y., Liu, Y., Fan, X., Zhu, R., Hu, Z., Li, C., & Hua, Y. (2024). Community structure and diversity of endophytic fungi in cultivated *Polygala crotalarioides* at two different growth stages based on culture-independent and culture-based methods. *Journal of Fungi*, 10(3), 195. doi:10.3390/jof10030195
- Singh, A., Kumar, J., Sharma, V. K., Singh, D. K., Kumari, P., Nishad, J. H., Gautam, V. S., & Kharwar, R. N. (2021b). Phytochemical analysis and antimicrobial activity of an endophytic *Fusarium proliferatum* (ACQR8), isolated from a folk medicinal plant *Cissus quadrangularis* L. *South African Journal of Botany*, 140, 87–94. doi:10.1016/j.sajb.2021.03.004
- Singh, A., Singh, D. K., Kharwar, R. N., White, J. F., & Gond, S. K. (2021a). Fungal endophytes as efficient sources of plant-derived bioactive compounds and their prospective applications in natural product drug discovery: insights, avenues, and challenges. *Microorganisms*, 9(1), 197. doi:10.3390/microorganisms9010197
- Tedersoo, L., Bahram, M., Pölme, S., Kõljalg, U., Yorou, N. S., Wijesundera, R., Ruiz, L. V., Vasco-Palacios, A. M., Thu, P. Q., Suija, A., et al. (2014). Global diversity and geography of soil fungi. *Science*, 346(6213), 1256688. doi:10.1126/science.1256688
- Thazha, S. K., Cruz, J. P., Alquwez, N., Scaria, B., Rengan, S. S., & Almazan, J. U. (2023). Studying the attitude and knowledge of nursing students towards the physical restraint use in patients. *Journal of Integrative Nursing and Palliative Care*, 4, 1-5. doi:10.51847/cFz2ew4AK8
- Tirkey, S. R., & Saxena, R. R. (2016). Application of the regression of mean crowding on mean density spatial distribution pattern of fruit borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) in Chhattisgarh. *Advances in Life Sciences*, 5(4), 1432–1435.
- Tziros, G. T., & Karaoglaniadis, G. S. (2022). Molecular identification and pathogenicity of *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Pythium* spp. associated with damping-off disease on baby leafy vegetables in Greece. *Plant Pathology*, 71(6), 1381–1391. doi:10.1111/ppa.13558
- Wang, R., Zhang, Q., Ju, M., Yan, S., Zhang, Q., & Gu, P. (2022). The endophytic fungi diversity, community structure, and ecological function prediction of *Sophora alopecuroides* in Ningxia, China. *Microorganisms*, 10(11), 2099. doi:10.3390/microorganisms10112099
- Wei, X., Fu, T., He, G., Zhong, Z., Yang, M., Lou, F., & He, T. (2023). Types of vegetables shape composition, diversity, and co-occurrence networks of soil bacteria and fungi in karst areas of southwest China. *BMC Microbiology*, 23(1), 254. doi:10.1186/s12866-023-02929-3
- Wilhelmy, L., Willmann, J. H., Tarraf, N. E., Wilmes, B., & Drescher, D. (2022). Managing first molar agenesis: a long-term assessment of space closure and implant options. *Annals of Orthodontics and Periodontics Specialty*, 2, 1-7. doi:10.51847/ryKxA1287r
- Zhao, Y., Wu, H., Wang, F., Zhao, L., Gong, W., & Li, H. (2025). Diversity, composition, and ecological function of endophytic fungal communities associated with *Erigeron breviscapus* in China. *Microorganisms*, 13(5), 1080. doi:10.3390/microorganisms13051080